

Parity-violating Hydrodynamics in 2+1 Dimensions

Kristan Jensen – University of Victoria

Matthias Kaminski – Princeton University

Pavel Kovtun – University of Washington

René Meyer – University of Crete

Adam Ritz – University of Victoria

Amos Yarom – Department of Physics, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel

Deposited 09/06/2018

Citation of published version:

Jensen, K., Kaminski, M., Kovtun, P., Meyer, R., Ritz, A., Yarom, A. (2012): Parity-violating Hydrodynamics in 2+1 Dimensions, *Journal of High Energy Physics*.

[https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP05\(2012\)102](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP05(2012)102)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

© SISSA 2012

Parity-violating hydrodynamics in 2+1 dimensions

Kristan Jensen,^a Matthias Kaminski,^{b,c} Pavel Kovtun,^a René Meyer,^d Adam Ritz^a
and Amos Yarom^e

^a*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria,
Victoria, BC V8W 3P6, Canada*

^b*Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University,
Princeton, NJ 08544, U.S.A.*

^c*Department of Physics, University of Washington,
Seattle, WA 98195, U.S.A.*

^d*Crete Center for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics, University of Crete,
71003 Heraklion, Greece*

^e*Department of Physics, Technion,
Haifa 32000, Israel*

E-mail: kristanj@uvic.ca, mski@uw.edu, pkovtun@uvic.ca,
meyer@physics.uoc.gr, aritz@uvic.ca, ayarom@physics.technion.ac.il

ABSTRACT: We study relativistic hydrodynamics of normal fluids in two spatial dimensions. When the microscopic theory breaks parity, extra transport coefficients appear in the hydrodynamic regime, including the Hall viscosity, and the anomalous Hall conductivity. In this work we classify all the transport coefficients in first order hydrodynamics. We then use properties of response functions and the positivity of entropy production to restrict the possible coefficients in the constitutive relations. All the parity-breaking transport coefficients are dissipationless, and some of them are related to the thermodynamic response to an external magnetic field and to vorticity. In addition, we give a holographic example of a strongly interacting relativistic fluid where the parity-violating transport coefficients are computable.

KEYWORDS: Gauge-gravity correspondence, Holography and condensed matter physics (AdS/CMT), Discrete and Finite Symmetries, Holography and quark-gluon plasmas

ARXIV EPRINT: [1112.4498](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.4498)

Contents

1	Summary	1
2	The hydrodynamic expansion	6
3	Positivity of entropy production	8
4	Response functions	13
4.1	General properties	14
4.2	Parity-preserving hydrodynamics	16
4.3	Parity-violating hydrodynamics	18
5	Thermodynamics	20
6	A holographic model	24
6.1	Equilibrium configurations	26
6.2	Fluid-gravity correspondence	28
7	Discussion	30

1 Summary

Hydrodynamics is an effective long-distance description of many classical or quantum many-body systems at non-zero temperature. The form of the hydrodynamic equations is dictated by the symmetries of the microscopic Hamiltonian, and is not sensitive to the precise nature of the short-distance degrees of freedom. When the microscopic description exhibits Lorentz invariance, the collective flow is described by the relativistic analogue of the Navier-Stokes equations. For normal fluids with an unbroken global U(1) symmetry (such as baryon number), the hydrodynamic equations take the form [1, 2],

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = F^{\nu\mu} J_\mu, \quad \nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Here $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of the fluid, J^μ is the U(1) symmetry current, and we have allowed for the possibility of coupling the fluid to an external non-dynamical gauge field A_μ (with field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$) and metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ (with covariant derivative ∇_μ). The gauge field couples to the conserved current J^μ . The relativistic analogue of the Navier-Stokes equations have a wide range of applications. For example, their study in 3 + 1 dimensions has led to significant progress in understanding the quark-gluon plasma [3]. In 2+1 dimensions the equations were proposed as an effective description of thermo-magnetic transport in cuprates [4] and in graphene [5].

A complete hydrodynamic description must, of course, supplement equations (1.1) with constitutive relations which express $T^{\mu\nu}$ and J^μ in terms of macroscopic parameters such as the local fluid velocity u^μ , local temperature T and local chemical potential μ . A conventional description of the constitutive relations can be found, for example, in the classic textbook by Landau and Lifshitz [1]. In this paper we take a closer look at the equations of relativistic hydrodynamics in $2+1$ dimensions, and argue that the canonical constitutive relations need to be modified when the microscopic theory does not respect parity \mathbf{P} . By parity we mean invariance under reflection of one of the spatial coordinates.¹ An example of a \mathbf{P} -violating system is the theory of interacting massive Dirac fermions in $2+1$ dimensions; the mass term breaks parity.

Our expressions for the constitutive relations may be written as follows:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_0 u^\mu u^\nu + (P_0 - \zeta \nabla_\alpha u^\alpha - \tilde{\chi}_B B - \tilde{\chi}_\Omega \Omega) \Delta^{\mu\nu} - \eta \sigma^{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\eta} \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.2a)$$

$$J^\mu = \rho_0 u^\mu + \sigma V^\mu + \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{V}^\mu + \tilde{\chi}_E \tilde{E}^\mu + \tilde{\chi}_T \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu \nabla_\rho T. \quad (1.2b)$$

The tensor quantities appearing in the constitutive relations (1.2) are

$$\Omega = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\mu \nabla_\nu u_\rho, \quad B = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\mu F_{\nu\rho}, \quad (1.3a)$$

$$E^\mu = F^{\mu\nu} u_\nu, \quad V^\mu = E^\mu - T \Delta^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \frac{\mu}{T}, \quad (1.3b)$$

$$\Delta^{\mu\nu} = u^\mu u^\nu + g^{\mu\nu}, \quad \sigma^{\mu\nu} = \Delta^{\mu\alpha} \Delta^{\nu\beta} \left(\nabla_\alpha u_\beta + \nabla_\beta u_\alpha - g_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\lambda u^\lambda \right), \quad (1.3c)$$

and

$$\tilde{E}^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu E_\rho, \quad \tilde{V}^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu V_\rho, \quad (1.4a)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\rho} u_\alpha \sigma_\rho{}^\nu + \epsilon^{\nu\alpha\rho} u_\alpha \sigma_\rho{}^\mu \right). \quad (1.4b)$$

The thermodynamic parameters $P_0(\mu, T)$, $\epsilon_0(\mu, T)$ and $\rho_0(\mu, T)$ are the values of the pressure, energy density and charge density respectively in an equilibrium configuration in which $B = \Omega = 0$, where B is the rest-frame magnetic field and Ω the vorticity. They satisfy

$$dP_0 = s_0 dT + \rho_0 d\mu, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\epsilon_0 = -P_0 + s_0 T + \rho_0 \mu, \quad (1.6)$$

where s_0 is the entropy density. The velocity field is denoted u^μ and is normalized so that $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$. In this paper we study hydrodynamics to first order in derivatives. For counting purposes, the derivatives of A_μ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ are of the same order as derivatives of the hydrodynamic variables. As a result, we take the magnetic field B and vorticity Ω as first order in derivatives, and work to linear order in B and Ω .

¹Parity can always be defined as reflection of one of the spatial coordinates. In a $3+1$ -dimensional theory, parity combined with a rotation is equivalent to a reflection of all three coordinates. In $2+1$ dimensions, a reflection along both spatial coordinates is equivalent to a rotation.

The remaining parameters in (1.2) characterize the transport properties of the fluid, or its thermodynamic response. The shear viscosity η , bulk viscosity ζ , and charge conductivity σ are the canonical dissipative transport coefficients and must satisfy

$$\eta \geq 0, \quad \zeta \geq 0, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad (1.7)$$

as a consequence of either positivity of the divergence of the entropy current, or positivity of the spectral functions in the corresponding Kubo formulas. The Hall viscosity $\tilde{\eta}$ and a new parameter $\tilde{\sigma}$, which contributes to the Hall effect in the absence of external magnetic fields, are both dissipationless. Our analysis does not constrain the values of $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$,²

$$\tilde{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.8)$$

The remaining parameters $\tilde{\chi}_B$, $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$, $\tilde{\chi}_E$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$ are not independent, and are specified in terms of three thermodynamic functions, $\mathcal{M}_B(T, \mu)$, $\mathcal{M}_\Omega(T, \mu)$ and $f_\Omega(T)$, such that

$$\tilde{\chi}_B = \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \left(T \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial T} + \mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial \mu} - \mathcal{M}_B \right) + \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial \mu}, \quad (1.9a)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_\Omega = \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \left(T \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial T} + \mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial \mu} + f_\Omega(T) - 2\mathcal{M}_\Omega \right) + \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial \mu} - \mathcal{M}_B \right), \quad (1.9b)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_E = \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial \mu} - R_0 \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial \mu} - \mathcal{M}_B \right), \quad (1.9c)$$

$$T\tilde{\chi}_T = \left(T \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial T} + \mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial \mu} - \mathcal{M}_B \right) - R_0 \left(T \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial T} + \mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial \mu} + f_\Omega(T) - 2\mathcal{M}_\Omega \right), \quad (1.9d)$$

where we have defined $R_0 = \rho_0/(\epsilon_0 + P_0)$. All derivatives in (1.9) are evaluated at constant μ or T except for $\partial P_0/\partial \epsilon_0$ and $\partial P_0/\partial \rho_0$ which are evaluated at constant ρ_0 and ϵ_0 respectively.³ The Kubo formulas for the parameters appearing in the constitutive relations (1.2) are

$$\eta = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{8\omega} (\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \epsilon_{ik}\epsilon_{jl}) \text{Im} G_R^{ij,kl}(\omega, 0), \quad \tilde{\eta} = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4\omega} \delta_{ik}\epsilon_{jl} \text{Im} G_R^{ij,kl}(\omega, 0), \quad (1.10a)$$

$$\sigma = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\omega} \delta_{ij} \text{Im} G_R^{i,j}(\omega, 0), \quad \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\chi}_E = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\omega} \epsilon_{ij} \text{Im} G_R^{i,j}(\omega, 0), \quad (1.10b)$$

along with

$$\zeta = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4\omega} \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \text{Im} G_R^{ij,kl}(\omega, 0), \quad (1.10c)$$

²When $T = 0$, it has been argued that $\tilde{\eta}$ should coincide with the angular momentum density of the ground state of the systems considered in [6, 7].

³Note added in proof: The function $f_\Omega(T)$ can be set to zero by an appropriate shift of $\mathcal{M}_\Omega(\mu, T)$, as was noted in [85].

and

$$\tilde{\chi}_B = -i \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon_{ij} k^i}{k^2} \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} G_R^{00,j}(0, k) + \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} G_R^{0,j}(0, k) \right), \quad (1.11a)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_\Omega = -i \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon_{ij} k^i}{k^2} \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} G_R^{00,0j}(0, k) + \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} G_R^{0,0j}(0, k) \right), \quad (1.11b)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_E = i \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon_{ij} k^i}{k^2} \left(G_R^{j,0}(0, k) - R_0 G_R^{0j,0}(0, k) \right), \quad (1.11c)$$

$$T \tilde{\chi}_T = i \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\epsilon_{ij} k^i}{k^2} \left(G_R^{j,00}(0, k) - R_0 G_R^{0j,00}(0, k) \right), \quad (1.11d)$$

where ϵ_{ij} is an antisymmetric tensor with $\epsilon_{12} = 1$. Here $G_R(\omega, k)$ denotes the retarded Green's functions,

$$G_R^{\mu,\nu} = \langle J^\mu J^\nu \rangle_R, \quad G_R^{\mu,\nu\rho} = \langle J^\mu T^{\nu\rho} \rangle_R, \quad G_R^{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} = \langle T^{\mu\nu} T^{\rho\sigma} \rangle_R,$$

in the thermal equilibrium state at $B = 0$ and $\Omega = 0$, defined by varying the one-point functions with respect to the appropriate sources. One important difference between the Kubo formulas (1.10) and (1.11) is that the former are given in terms of zero-momentum response functions, while the latter are given in terms of zero-frequency response functions. As emphasized in [8], the zero-frequency response functions are inherently Euclidean, and therefore only contain thermodynamic information. For this reason the parameters $\tilde{\chi}_B$, $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$, $\tilde{\chi}_E$, $\tilde{\chi}_T$ are not transport coefficients, but should be thought of as thermodynamic quantities, consistent with (1.9). We will refer to η , $\tilde{\eta}$, σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ as transport coefficients and to $\tilde{\chi}_B$, $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$, $\tilde{\chi}_E$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$ as thermodynamic response parameters.

Our parametrization of the constitutive relations (1.2) was not general in the sense that we have chosen a particular out-of-equilibrium definition of energy density, charge density, and fluid velocity. Such a choice is referred to as a ‘‘frame’’. The choice (1.2) is usually referred to as the Landau frame. We will find it convenient to use an alternative frame that is naturally suited to fluids whose thermodynamics depends on B and Ω . Indeed, a static magnetic field B does not lead to an increase in (fluid) energy and therefore may be non-zero in equilibrium. Similarly, on a compact manifold, one may have non-zero vorticity Ω and still remain in thermal equilibrium, e.g., a system which executes rigid rotation. For such equilibrium states the pressure is $P = P(T, \mu, B, \Omega)$, so that

$$dP = s dT + \rho d\mu + \frac{\partial P}{\partial B} B + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega} \Omega, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\epsilon + P = sT + \mu\rho. \quad (1.13)$$

Here and in the rest of this paper, all thermodynamic derivatives with respect to B and Ω are evaluated at $B=0$ and $\Omega=0$. The constitutive relations in a ‘magnetovortical’ frame which is adapted to the thermodynamic relation (1.12) are given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon - \mathcal{M}_\Omega \Omega + f_\Omega \Omega) u^\mu u^\nu + (P - \zeta \nabla_\alpha u^\alpha - \tilde{x}_B B - \tilde{x}_\Omega \Omega) \Delta^{\mu\nu} - \eta \sigma^{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\eta} \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.14a)$$

$$J^\mu = (\rho - \mathcal{M}_B \Omega) u^\mu + \sigma V^\mu + \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{V}^\mu + \tilde{\chi}_E \tilde{E}^\mu + \tilde{\chi}_T \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu \nabla_\rho T, \quad (1.14b)$$

where \mathcal{M}_B and \mathcal{M}_Ω are

$$\mathcal{M}_B = \frac{\partial P}{\partial B}, \quad \mathcal{M}_\Omega = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega}. \quad (1.15)$$

The role of the undetermined function $f_\Omega(T)$ is unclear. The expressions (1.9) and (1.15) then determine the parameters in the constitutive relations (1.14) in terms of thermodynamic derivatives,

$$\tilde{x}_B = \frac{\partial P}{\partial B}, \quad \tilde{x}_\Omega = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega}, \quad (1.16a)$$

$$T\tilde{\chi}_T = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial B} + R_0 \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega} - \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \Omega} - f_\Omega \right), \quad \tilde{\chi}_E = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial B} + R_0 \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial B} - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \Omega} \right). \quad (1.16b)$$

We note that it is also possible to present our results in a frame-invariant form along the lines of the analysis carried out in [9]. We describe this in section 3.

The constitutive relations (1.2) (or (1.14)) together with the subsequent relations for the transport coefficients and thermodynamic response parameters are the main results of this paper. The relations (1.7) and the Kubo formulas for η , σ , and ζ are well known, while Kubo formulas for $\tilde{\eta}$ were discussed recently in [10]. Our strategy for obtaining the relations (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), and (1.11) involved the imposition of several physical constraints on the constitutive relations. These constraints amount to requiring that the response functions of a hydrodynamic theory must: (i) obey positivity constraints, (ii) have their zero-frequency limits coincide with the corresponding thermodynamic susceptibilities, and (iii) transform covariantly under time-reversal, \mathbf{T} . In addition we ensure that (iv) a local version of the second law of thermodynamics holds. Some of these constraints are more familiar than others. Relations (i) and (iv) have often been used in the literature [1], and (iii) is the basis for Onsager’s reciprocity relations [11, 12].

Parity-violating systems in $2 + 1$ dimensions have been considered in the condensed matter literature. The simplest such example is a theory of free massive Dirac fermions at zero temperature and in $2+1$ dimensions. Parity breaking leads to a remarkable transport property at zero temperature: the Hall conductivity is quantized although no magnetic field is present [13]. This is an example of the anomalous Hall effect [14]. The transverse response to a thermal gradient (the thermal Hall conductivity) was recently discussed in several classes of topological insulators in $2+1$ (and $3+1$) dimensions, and related to anomalies in various dimensions [15]. In $2+1$ dimensions the parity-odd analogue of the shear viscosity, which is called the Hall viscosity, has been studied from the condensed matter physics perspective in [6, 16–19], using an effective field theory [7, 20], and also using the AdS/CFT correspondence [10, 21–23].

Parity-violating transport effects were also studied in $3+1$ dimensions with QCD applications in mind: using field theory techniques [24–28], in the context of hydrodynamics [29–32], and in parallel using the AdS/CFT correspondence along with hydrodynamics [33–41]. A relation linking parity-odd transport in $3+1$ dimensions with the chiral anomaly was first found in [42, 43], and later effects of a gravitational anomaly were considered in [44, 45]. Recently, parity-odd transport in superfluids was discussed in [9, 46, 47]. An effective

field theory for non-dissipative transport in 1+1 dimensions was suggested in [48]. For considerations of hydrodynamics in arbitrary dimensions see [32, 49].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we construct the most general constitutive relations allowed for a relativistic \mathbf{P} -violating system in 2+1 dimensions. In section 3 we construct an entropy current with positive divergence, and determine the ensuing constraints on the constitutive relations. We independently derive restrictions on these constitutive relations in section 4 using linearized hydrodynamics, by computing the retarded Green's functions and imposing the conditions (i)-(iii) described above. We discuss an alternative hydrodynamic frame (1.14) in section 5, which provides a more transparent picture of 2 + 1-dimensional thermodynamics in the presence of non-zero B and Ω . We check our results against an AdS/CFT computation in section 6, and conclude our analysis with a discussion of the results in section 7.

2 The hydrodynamic expansion

In hydrodynamics, the chemical potential, temperature and velocity field are allowed to vary slowly in space and time. The four equations of motion which determine the values of the hydrodynamic variables are energy-momentum conservation and charge conservation, while the explicit relations between the energy-momentum tensor (and the current) and the hydrodynamic variables are called constitutive relations. Given a time-like vector u^μ (satisfying $u_\mu u^\mu = -1$), the energy-momentum tensor and the current can be decomposed into pieces which are transverse and longitudinal with respect to u ,

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E}u_\mu u_\nu + \mathcal{P}\Delta_{\mu\nu} + (q_\mu u_\nu + q_\nu u_\mu) + t_{\mu\nu}, \tag{2.1a}$$

$$J_\mu = \mathcal{N}u_\mu + j_\mu, \tag{2.1b}$$

where, as before, $\Delta_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu$ projects onto the space orthogonal to the velocity field. In this decomposition, \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{P} , and \mathcal{N} are Lorentz scalars, q_μ , $t_{\mu\nu}$, and j_μ are transverse, $u_\mu q^\mu = 0$, $u_\mu t^{\mu\nu} = 0$, $u_\mu j^\mu = 0$, and $t^{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric and traceless. Some readers may be familiar with a decomposition of the energy-momentum tensor and current into an ideal and dissipative part. For example, one may write

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= \epsilon_0 u^\mu u^\nu + P_0 \Delta^{\mu\nu} + \tau^{\mu\nu}, \\ J^\mu &= \rho_0 u^\mu + \Upsilon^\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where P_0 , ϵ_0 and ρ_0 were defined below (1.3). We point out that the decomposition in (2.1) is of a different nature — it is a decomposition into scalar, transverse vector and transverse tensor modes which can be carried out for any tensor and vector. In other words, \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{N} do not necessarily take on their values in equilibrium. As a consequence, the scalars, transverse vectors and transverse tensors in (2.1a) and (2.1b) depend, a priori, on the hydrodynamic variables μ , T and u^μ and on quantities built from their derivatives. Needless to say, one may easily go from (2.2) to (2.1) by comparing appropriate terms in the current or energy-momentum tensor. For example: $\mathcal{N} = \rho_0 - u_\mu \Upsilon^\mu$.

Out of equilibrium one can redefine the fields $u_\mu(x)$, $T(x)$, and $\mu(x)$ in a way that simplifies the decomposition (2.1). This four-parameter field redefinition is referred to as a

choice of frame [1] (for a detailed recent discussion see [50]). In what follows we will choose a conventional Landau frame, in which the four-parameter ambiguity is fixed by requiring that $q^\mu = 0$ and that \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{N} retain their values in an equilibrium configuration with zero magnetic field and zero vorticity, i.e. $\mathcal{E} = \epsilon_0$ and $\mathcal{N} = \rho_0$. This choice of frame gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} &= \epsilon_0 u_\mu u_\nu + \mathcal{P} \Delta_{\mu\nu} + t_{\mu\nu}, \\ J_\mu &= \rho_0 u_\mu + j_\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where the transverse current j_μ and transverse traceless tensor $t_{\mu\nu}$ vanish in the equilibrium state, and similarly

$$\mathcal{P} = P_0 + (\text{first order corrections}). \tag{2.4}$$

We now need to specify the constitutive relations which express the energy-momentum tensor and the current in terms of the hydrodynamic variables u_μ , T , and μ , their derivatives and possible electromagnetic and gravitational sources. Since we consider small deviations from thermal equilibrium, we may expand \mathcal{P} , $t_{\mu\nu}$, and j_μ to first order in derivatives of hydrodynamic variables. As is generally the case in effective field theories, we must allow all possible one derivative contributions to \mathcal{P} , $t_{\mu\nu}$, and j_μ consistent with the symmetries of the system, but rule out those expressions that are forbidden by physical constraints such as thermodynamic laws, unitarity and time reversal symmetry. In the remainder of this section, we will classify all possible independent contributions to \mathcal{P} , j^μ , and $t^{\mu\nu}$. By independent we mean expressions which are inequivalent under the equations of motion (1.1) to first order in derivatives. The additional constraints which need to be implemented in order for the theory to satisfy all physical requirements will be described in section 3 and section 4.

In formulating the first-order constitutive relations, we take the external fields to be small, with the field strengths $F_{\mu\nu}$ and the connection coefficients $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho$ of the same order as gradients of the hydrodynamic variables. This is the scaling required to study the response of the fluid to sources to first order in a derivative expansion. Magnetohydrodynamics or fluid dynamics with large values of vorticity would require a separate treatment.

To carry out a classification of the scalars, vectors and tensors it is convenient to supplement the transverse projector $\Delta_{\mu\nu}$, satisfying $\Delta^2 = \Delta$, with a transverse antisymmetric tensor $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} \equiv \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} u^\rho$ which satisfies $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} u^\nu = 0$, $\Sigma^2 = -\Delta$ and $\Sigma \cdot \Delta = \Sigma$. A generic vector or pseudovector can be projected into orthogonal components in the plane transverse to u^μ via $\Delta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$. This allows us to straightforwardly write down all possible structures contributing to the constitutive relations.

At first order in derivatives there are three scalars, $u^\mu \nabla_\mu T$, $u^\mu \nabla_\mu (\mu/T)$, $\Delta^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu u_\nu = \nabla_\mu u^\mu$, and two pseudoscalars, $\Sigma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu u_\nu = -\Omega$, $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = -B$ that one could construct out of the hydrodynamic variables. However, since there are two scalar equations of motion: $\nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0$ and $u_\mu \nabla_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = u_\mu F^{\mu\nu} J_\nu$, only one of the three scalars is independent. We take this scalar to be $\Delta^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu u_\nu = \nabla_\mu u^\mu$. Thus, there is one scalar and two pseudoscalars which may contribute to \mathcal{P} to first order in derivatives,

$$\mathcal{P} = P_0 - \tilde{\chi}_B B - \tilde{\chi}_\Omega \Omega - \zeta \nabla_\mu u^\mu, \tag{2.5a}$$

where P_0 is the local thermodynamic pressure, and ζ is the bulk viscosity. The second and third terms in (2.5a) are forbidden in parity-invariant systems, but are allowed once parity is broken.

Next we consider the tensors. Since $u_\mu \nabla_\nu T$ and $u_\mu \nabla_\nu (\mu/T)$ have no transverse projections, it is sufficient to focus on projections of $\nabla_\mu u_\nu$. The two structures $\Delta^{\rho(\mu} \nabla_\rho u^{\nu)}$ and $\Sigma^{\rho(\mu} \nabla_\rho u^{\nu)}$ with circular brackets denoting a symmetric combination are, in fact, an exhaustive set of first order tensors. Using the properties of Δ and Σ listed above one can show that any other symmetric transverse projection can be represented as a linear combination of these structures and $\Delta_{\mu\nu}$. Forming the trace-subtracted combinations we have, on writing out the tensors more explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\mu\nu} &= -\eta \left[\Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\beta} + \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\beta} - \Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\alpha\beta} \right] \nabla^\alpha u^\beta \\ &\quad + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{2} \left[\Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Sigma_{\nu\beta} + \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \Sigma_{\mu\beta} + \Sigma_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\beta} + \Sigma_{\nu\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\beta} \right] \nabla^\alpha u^\beta \\ &= -\eta \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\eta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5b}$$

where η is the shear viscosity. The parameter $\tilde{\eta}$ is a **P**-violating transport coefficient referred to as the Hall viscosity. It is only allowed once parity is broken, and has been discussed previously for non-relativistic [16] and relativistic [7] fluids. If we denote small fluctuations of the spatial component of the velocity field by v^i , then unlike the normal shear viscosity which in flat space gives a response of the stress tensor T_{12} to $(\partial_1 v_2 + \partial_2 v_1)$, the Hall viscosity gives a response of T_{12} to $(\partial_1 v_1 - \partial_2 v_2)$.

There are four transverse vectors and four transverse pseudovectors which we can construct at first order in derivatives. These vectors and pseudovectors can be formed by projecting $\nabla_\mu T$, $\nabla_\mu (\mu/T)$, $F^{\mu\nu} u_\nu = E^\mu$ or $u^\nu \nabla_\nu u_\mu$ with either $\Delta_{\mu\nu}$ or $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$. Since we have one transverse vector equation of motion, $\Delta_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\rho T^{\rho\nu} = \Delta_{\mu\nu} F^{\nu\rho} J_\rho$ and one transverse pseudovector equation of motion, $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\rho T^{\rho\nu} = \Sigma_{\mu\nu} F^{\nu\rho} J_\rho$, only three vectors and three pseudovectors are independent. We choose to drop the projections of $u^\nu \nabla_\nu u_\mu$. The constitutive relation for j^μ then takes the form,

$$j_\mu = \Delta_{\mu\lambda} \left[\sigma V^\lambda + \chi_E E^\lambda + \chi_T \nabla^\lambda T \right] - \Sigma_{\mu\lambda} \left[\tilde{\sigma} V^\lambda + \tilde{\chi}_E E^\lambda + \tilde{\chi}_T \nabla^\lambda T \right], \tag{2.5c}$$

where $V_\mu \equiv E_\mu - T \Delta_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\nu (\mu/T)$, and E_μ is the electric field in the fluid rest frame.

We have written the constitutive relations in the Landau frame. However, a frame-invariant definition of the transport coefficients does exist and will be discussed in the next section.

3 Positivity of entropy production

In the Landau frame the constitutive relations take the form given in (2.3) and (2.5). In this section we will study how the second law of thermodynamics restricts the coefficients in the constitutive relations leading to the results (1.7), (1.8), and (1.9) described in section 1. At intermediate stages of the computation we will find frame invariant expressions for the constitutive relations.

scalars	pseudoscalars	transverse vectors	tensors
$\nabla_\mu u^\mu$	$\Omega = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\mu \nabla_\nu u_\rho$ $B = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\mu F_{\nu\rho}$	$U_1^\mu = u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha u^\mu$ $U_2^\mu = F^{\mu\nu} u_\nu = E^\mu$ $U_3^\mu = \Delta^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \frac{\mu}{T} - \frac{E^\mu}{T} = -\frac{V^\mu}{T}$	$\sigma^{\mu\nu}$

Table 1. Various independent first derivative quantities. The shear tensor $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ was defined in (1.3c). Pseudotensors and pseudovectors can be obtained from the vectors and tensors above through (3.5).

The local version of the second law of thermodynamics amounts to the existence of a current J_s^μ whose divergence is positive semi-definite,

$$\nabla_\mu J_s^\mu \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

with

$$J_s^\mu = s_0 u^\mu + \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{gradient} \\ \text{corrections} \end{array} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where s_0 is the entropy density given in (1.6). When there is no dissipation J_s^μ is conserved. The most general form of the entropy current in a 2+1-dimensional relativistic theory must take the form

$$J_s^\mu = J_{s\text{ canon}}^\mu + \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{all possible} \\ \text{single gradient} \\ \text{3-vectors} \end{array} \right), \quad (3.3)$$

where we will refer to

$$J_{s\text{ canon}}^\mu = s_0 u^\mu - \frac{\mu}{T} \Upsilon^\mu - \frac{u_\nu}{T} \tau^{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.4)$$

with $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ and Υ^μ as in (2.2), as the canonical entropy current. As we will see shortly, positivity of the divergence of the entropy current imposes non-trivial restrictions on both J_s^μ and on $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ and Υ^μ [1].

Our analysis closely follows [9, 43]. We have described all possible first order transverse vectors, tensors and scalars in section 2. A list of independent transverse traceless symmetric tensors, transverse vectors, scalars and pseudoscalars is reproduced in table 1 for convenience. Transverse pseudovectors \tilde{U} and pseudotensors \tilde{S} can be obtained from the above transverse vectors and tensors via

$$\tilde{U}^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu U_\rho, \quad \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\rho} u_\alpha S_\rho^\nu + \epsilon^{\nu\alpha\rho} u_\alpha S_\rho^\mu). \quad (3.5)$$

We will refer to the first order terms which are independent under the equations of motion of ideal hydrodynamics as first derivative data. In this section we will find it convenient to use two alternative bases for the first order pseudovectors and pseudoscalars. The first basis is defined in (3.5) and table 1. In addition to the basis of first-order pseudovectors spanned by Bu^μ , Ωu^μ , and the \tilde{U}_i^μ 's we will also find it convenient to use a different basis of first-order pseudovectors, given in table 2. In these bases the most general expression for the entropy current takes the form

$$J_s^\mu = J_{s\text{ canon}}^\mu + \nu_0 (\nabla \cdot u) u^\mu + \sum_{i=1}^3 \nu_i U_i^\mu + \sum_{i=1}^5 \tilde{\nu}_i \tilde{V}_i, \quad (3.6)$$

pseudovectors
$\tilde{V}_1^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu \nabla_\rho T = -T\tilde{U}_1^\mu - R_0 T^2 \tilde{U}_3^\mu$
$\tilde{V}_2^\mu = \tilde{U}_2^\mu$
$\tilde{V}_3^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu \nabla_\rho \frac{\mu}{T} = \tilde{U}_3^\mu + \frac{\tilde{U}_2^\mu}{T}$
$\tilde{V}_4^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\nu\rho} = \tilde{U}_2^\mu + u^\mu B$
$\tilde{V}_5^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \nabla_\nu u_\rho = -\tilde{U}_1^\mu + u^\mu \Omega$

Table 2. An alternative basis for first order pseudovectors. In relating this basis to table 1 we have used the equations of motion of ideal hydrodynamics to show that $U_1^\mu = -\frac{\Delta^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu T}{T} - R_0 T U_3^\mu$, where $R_0 = \rho_0 / (\epsilon_0 + P_0)$.

where the ν_i 's and the $\tilde{\nu}_i$'s are (as yet) undetermined functions of μ and T . By including the pseudovectors \tilde{V}_4 and \tilde{V}_5 we have parametrized the longitudinal pseudovector contributions $u^\mu B$ and $u^\mu \Omega$, respectively, to J_s^μ . The entropy current (3.6) contains all possible parity-even and parity-odd vector contributions both longitudinal and transverse to the velocity field.

We note in passing that

$$-\frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}}{\partial T} \tilde{V}_1^\mu - \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}}{\partial \frac{\mu}{T}} \tilde{V}_3^\mu + \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{V}_5^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \nabla_\nu (\tilde{\alpha} u_\rho), \tag{3.7}$$

is a divergenceless vector for arbitrary $\tilde{\alpha}$. If we add such a term to the entropy current (3.6), it will not contribute to entropy production but it will shift $\tilde{\nu}_1$, $\tilde{\nu}_3$ and $\tilde{\nu}_5$ such that $\tilde{\nu}_5 \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\nu}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_1 - \partial_T \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\nu}_3 \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_3 - \partial_{\frac{\mu}{T}} \tilde{\alpha}$. The two combinations of $\tilde{\nu}_i$'s which are invariant under this shift are $\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_1$ and $\partial_{\frac{\mu}{T}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3$. Combined with $\tilde{\nu}_2$ and $\tilde{\nu}_4$, this means that there are only four combinations of the $\tilde{\nu}_i$'s that a priori can participate in entropy production.

The expression for the divergence of the entropy current in first order hydrodynamics can be written as a sum of products of first order data and a sum of genuine second order scalars,

$$\nabla_\mu J_s^\mu = \left(\text{products of} \right) + \left(\text{second order} \right). \tag{3.8}$$

(first order data) (scalar data)

By second order scalar data we mean expressions which are second order in a gradient expansion and cannot be decomposed into a product of first order terms. Equation (3.1) states that the divergence of the entropy current should be positive semi-definite for any flow which solves the equations of motion and with any background fields. Thus, all second order data in (3.8) should vanish and all first order data should arrange themselves into complete squares.

Using the scalar equation $u_\nu \nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} + E^\nu J_\nu + \mu \nabla_\nu J^\nu = 0$, together with (1.5) and (1.6), it follows that

$$\nabla_\alpha J_s^\alpha = - \left(\nabla_\alpha \frac{\mu}{T} - \frac{E_\alpha}{T} \right) \Upsilon^\alpha - \nabla_\mu \left(\frac{u_\nu}{T} \right) \tau^{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.9}$$

In other words, all the expressions in the divergence of the canonical part of the entropy current involve products of first order data.

All second order data in the divergence of the entropy current must vanish. Therefore the coefficients, ν_0 , ν_i and $\tilde{\nu}_i$ must be tuned so that no second order data appears in the divergence of the non-canonical part of J_s^μ . Using (3.9) it is not difficult to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha J_s^\alpha = & + \left(\nu_2 - \frac{\nu_3}{T} \right) \nabla_\mu E^\mu + \nu_3 \Delta^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \partial_\nu \frac{\mu}{T} \\ & + (\nu_0 + \nu_1) u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\mu u^\mu - \nu_1 u^\alpha u^\mu R_{\alpha\mu} \\ & - \tilde{\nu}_2 u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha B + \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{products of} \\ \text{first order data} \end{array} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor. Following an analysis similar to the one carried out in [9] one can show that all explicit expressions on the right hand side of (3.10) are genuine second order data. Since the genuine second order terms on the right hand side of (3.10) should vanish, we find that

$$\tilde{\nu}_2 = \nu_0 = \nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

There are no additional constraints that arise from demanding positivity on a curved background, so we will work in flat space from now on.

We now require that the remaining data which contributes to the divergence of the entropy current appears quadratically. We allow the undetermined variables $\tilde{\nu}_i$, $i = 1, 3, 4, 5$ to depend on $\bar{\mu} = \mu/T$ and T . To first order in the derivative expansion,

$$\partial_\alpha J_s^\alpha = \partial_\alpha J_{s \text{ canon}}^\alpha + \sum_{i=1,3,4,5} \left[\frac{\partial \tilde{\nu}_i}{\partial T} (\partial T \cdot \tilde{V}_i) + \frac{\partial \tilde{\nu}_i}{\partial \bar{\mu}} (\partial \bar{\mu} \cdot \tilde{V}_i) + \tilde{\nu}_i (\partial \cdot \tilde{V}_i) \right]. \quad (3.12)$$

Evaluating the right hand side of (3.12) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha J_s^\alpha = & + \partial_\alpha J_{s \text{ canon}}^\alpha \\ & - \Omega(\partial \cdot u) \left[T \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \right)_{\rho_0} (\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_1) + \frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \right)_{\epsilon_0} (\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3) \right] \\ & - B(\partial \cdot u) \left[T \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \right)_{\rho_0} \partial_T \tilde{\nu}_4 + \frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \right)_{\epsilon_0} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_4 \right] \\ & + U_2 \cdot \tilde{U}_3 [R_0 T (\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_3 - \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_1) - \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_4 + R_0 T^2 \partial_T \tilde{\nu}_4] \\ & + U_1 \cdot \tilde{U}_3 [-R_0 T^2 (\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_1) + (\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3) + T (\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_1 - \partial_T \tilde{\nu}_3)] \\ & + U_1 \cdot \tilde{U}_2 \left[\frac{\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3}{T} + \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_1 - \partial_T \tilde{\nu}_3 - T \partial_T \tilde{\nu}_4 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where we have used the identities

$$u^\alpha \partial_\alpha T = -T \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \right)_{\rho_0} (\partial \cdot u), \quad u^\alpha \partial_\alpha \bar{\mu} = -\frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \right)_{\epsilon_0} (\partial \cdot u), \quad (3.14)$$

derived in [9]. There is a redundancy in (3.13): $\tilde{\nu}_1$ and $\tilde{\nu}_3$ only appear in the combinations $\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_1$ and $\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3$.

We now evaluate the divergence of $J_{s\text{ canon}}^\mu$. Using (3.9) and (3.14) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha J_{s\text{ canon}}^\alpha &= - \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mu\nu} \tau^{\mu\nu} - \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \right)_{\rho_0} u_\mu u_\nu \tau^{\mu\nu} + \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \right)_{\epsilon_0} u_\mu \Upsilon^\mu \right) \frac{\partial \cdot u}{T} \\ &\quad - (R_0 u_\mu \tau^{\mu\nu} + \Upsilon^\nu) \Delta_{\nu\alpha} U_3^\alpha \\ &\quad - \frac{\tau^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}}{2T}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

In this expression, $\partial \cdot u$ is a scalar, $\Delta_{\nu\alpha} U_3^\alpha$ is a transverse vector, and $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ is a transverse traceless symmetric tensor. Therefore, in (3.15), the first expression in the parentheses can be expanded in the basis of scalars, the second expression in the parentheses can be expanded in the basis of transverse vectors, and $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ can be expanded in the basis of transverse traceless symmetric tensors listed in table 1:

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mu\nu} \tau^{\mu\nu} - \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \right)_{\rho_0} u_\mu u_\nu \tau^{\mu\nu} + \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \right)_{\epsilon_0} u_\mu \Upsilon^\mu = -\beta(\partial \cdot u) - \tilde{\beta}_\Omega \Omega - \tilde{\beta}_B B, \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\Delta^\alpha{}_\mu \Delta^\beta{}_\nu \tau^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\alpha\beta} \Delta_{\mu\nu} \tau^{\mu\nu} = -\theta \sigma^{\alpha\beta} - \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.16b)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^\alpha{}_\nu (R_0 u_\mu \tau^{\mu\nu} + \Upsilon^\nu) &= -T \kappa_1 U_1^\alpha - T \tilde{\kappa}_1 \tilde{U}_1^\alpha + \kappa_2 U_2^\alpha + \tilde{\kappa}_2 \tilde{U}_2^\alpha \\ &\quad - T \kappa_3 U_3^\alpha - T \tilde{\kappa}_3 \tilde{U}_3^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16c)$$

The expressions on the left hand side of (3.16) are frame invariant. We refer the reader to [9] for further explanation and examples. The transport coefficients on the right hand side of (3.16) are physical quantities which characterize the fluid and are independent of the frame. The relations between the parameters on the right hand side of (3.16) and the coefficients in the Landau frame are given in (3.25).

Requiring that the divergence of the entropy current be positive semi-definite leads to the following constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &\geq 0, \\ \tilde{\beta}_\Omega &= \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \right)_{\rho_0} T^2 (\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_1) + \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \right)_{\epsilon_0} (\partial_{\tilde{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3), \\ \tilde{\beta}_B &= \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \right)_{\rho_0} T^2 \partial_T \tilde{\nu}_4 + \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \right)_{\epsilon_0} \partial_{\tilde{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_4, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

in the scalar sector,

$$\kappa_1 = 0, \quad \kappa_2 = 0, \quad \kappa_3 \geq 0, \quad \tilde{\kappa}_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.18a)$$

as well as

$$\tilde{\kappa}_1 = T(\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_4 - R_0(\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_1)), \quad \tilde{\kappa}_2 = \partial_{\tilde{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_4 - R_0(\partial_{\tilde{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3), \quad (3.18b)$$

in the vector sector, and

$$\theta \geq 0, \quad \tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.19)$$

in the tensor sector. A further condition is

$$\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3 + T(\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_1 - \partial_T \tilde{\nu}_3) - T^2 \partial_T \tilde{\nu}_4 = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

which we used to simplify the expressions for $\tilde{\kappa}_1$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_2$ in (3.18).

In the discussion below equation (3.7) we argued that only four combinations of $\tilde{\nu}_i$ participate in entropy production. Since we found that $\tilde{\nu}_2 = 0$, three combinations remain: $(\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_1)$, $(\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3)$, and $\tilde{\nu}_4$. Equation (3.20) may be rewritten in terms of these three combinations,

$$(\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3) + \frac{1}{T} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} (T^2 (\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_1)) - T \partial_T (\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3) - T^2 \partial_T \tilde{\nu}_4 = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

We now parametrize the coefficients $\tilde{\nu}_4$ and $(\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3)$ as

$$T \tilde{\nu}_4 = \mathcal{M}_B, \quad \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_3 = \frac{1}{T} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \mathcal{M}_\Omega - \mathcal{M}_B, \quad (3.22)$$

where \mathcal{M}_B and \mathcal{M}_Ω are arbitrary functions of μ and T . Relation (3.21) then takes the form

$$\frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\mu}} (T^2 (\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_1) - T \partial_T \mathcal{M}_\Omega + 2 \mathcal{M}_\Omega) = 0, \quad (3.23)$$

which has the solution

$$T^2 (\partial_T \tilde{\nu}_5 + \tilde{\nu}_1) = T \partial_T \mathcal{M}_\Omega - 2 \mathcal{M}_\Omega + f_\Omega(T), \quad (3.24)$$

where $f_\Omega(T)$ is undetermined.

The Landau frame was defined by (2.3), (2.5a), (2.5b) and (2.5c). Inserting the Landau-frame expressions for the stress tensor and charge current into (3.16) we find

$$\theta = \eta, \quad \tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\eta}, \quad \beta = \zeta, \quad (3.25a)$$

$$\kappa_1 = \chi_T, \quad \kappa_2 = \chi_E, \quad \kappa_3 = \sigma + R_0 T \chi_T, \quad (3.25b)$$

$$\tilde{\kappa}_1 = \tilde{\chi}_T, \quad \tilde{\kappa}_2 = \tilde{\chi}_E, \quad \tilde{\kappa}_3 = \tilde{\sigma} + R_0 T \tilde{\chi}_T, \quad (3.25c)$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_B = \tilde{\chi}_B, \quad \tilde{\beta}_\Omega = \tilde{\chi}_\Omega. \quad (3.25d)$$

Inserting (3.22) and (3.24) into (3.17) and (3.18) and converting to the Landau frame (3.25) yields the expressions (1.9) for the $\tilde{\chi}$'s as functions of $\mathcal{M}_B(T, \mu)$, $\mathcal{M}_\Omega(T, \mu)$ and $f_\Omega(T)$.

4 Response functions

The theory of linear response allows one to relate transport properties and thermodynamic susceptibilities to limiting values of retarded correlation functions [51, 52]. In section 4.1 we will discuss several properties of the retarded functions for fluids. These include positivity of spectral functions, covariance under time reversal, and connections to thermodynamic susceptibilities in appropriate limits. Then in sections 4.2 and 4.3, we will show how these properties may be used to restrict the coefficients which appear in the constitutive relations.

4.1 General properties

Consider the expressions for the current and the energy-momentum tensor densities in the presence of external sources,

$$\mathcal{J}^\mu(x) \equiv \sqrt{-g} \langle J^\mu(x) \rangle_{A,g}, \quad \mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \sqrt{-g} \langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_{A,g}. \quad (4.1)$$

where $x = (t, \mathbf{x})$, $g \equiv \det(g)$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric. The subscripts A and g indicate that these are one-point functions in the presence of a background gauge field A_μ and a metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, with $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ the mostly-plus flat-space metric. When the background sources vary sufficiently slowly in space and time, the assumption of the hydrodynamic theory is that $\langle J^\mu(x) \rangle$ and $\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle$ in (4.1) are given precisely by the constitutive relations (2.3), (2.5), with the hydrodynamic variables ϵ_0 , u^μ , and ρ_0 satisfying the conservation equations (1.1). To obtain retarded two-point functions in flat space and without external fields, we need to solve (1.1) to first order in A_μ and $h_{\mu\nu}$, insert those solutions into the constitutive relations, and then differentiate (4.1) with respect to the sources. We define the retarded functions:⁴

$$G_R^{\mu,\nu}(x) = \left. \frac{\delta \mathcal{J}^\mu(x)}{\delta A_\nu(0)} \right|_{A=h=0}, \quad G_R^{\mu\nu,\sigma}(x) = \left. \frac{\delta \mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}(x)}{\delta A_\sigma(0)} \right|_{A=h=0}, \quad (4.2a)$$

$$G_R^{\sigma,\mu\nu}(x) = 2 \left. \frac{\delta \mathcal{J}^\sigma(x)}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}(0)} \right|_{A=h=0}, \quad G_R^{\sigma\tau,\mu\nu}(x) = 2 \left. \frac{\delta \mathcal{T}^{\sigma\tau}(x)}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}(0)} \right|_{A=h=0}. \quad (4.2b)$$

Since we will be interested in the retarded functions in the equilibrium state which has $B = 0$ and $\Omega = 0$, we need only solve the hydrodynamic equations of motion to first order in fluctuations in order to find J_μ and $T_{\mu\nu}$ to first order in background fields.

Alternatively, the retarded functions may be defined in the canonical formalism,

$$G_R^{\mu,\nu}(x) = i\theta(t) \text{Tr}(\varrho [J^\mu(x), J^\nu(0)]), \quad G_R^{\mu\nu,\sigma}(x) = i\theta(t) \text{Tr}(\varrho [T^{\mu\nu}(x), J^\sigma(0)]), \quad (4.3a)$$

$$G_R^{\sigma,\mu\nu}(x) = i\theta(t) \text{Tr}(\varrho [J^\sigma(x), T^{\mu\nu}(0)]), \quad G_R^{\sigma\tau,\mu\nu}(x) = i\theta(t) \text{Tr}(\varrho [T^{\sigma\tau}(x), T^{\mu\nu}(0)]), \quad (4.3b)$$

where ϱ is the grand canonical density operator specifying the equilibrium state of the system. The retarded functions defined by (4.2) and (4.3) will differ by contact terms. See for example [55, 56] for a discussion.

In the remainder of this subsection we will study general properties of the retarded functions defined in (4.2). In the zero-frequency limit, the retarded functions may be determined by equilibrium thermodynamics. Indeed, the retarded functions at zero frequency coincide with the Euclidean time-ordered functions at zero frequency, and can be computed for example by the Euclidean functional integral method in the grand canonical ensemble.

In a static equilibrium without external sources, the partition function Z in the grand canonical ensemble is given by

$$Z[T, \mu] = \text{Tr} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{H}{T} + \frac{\mu Q}{T} \right) \right], \quad (4.4)$$

⁴More formally, one can start with the generating functional in the Schwinger-Keldysh formalism [53, 54] and define the fully retarded functions by taking the appropriate variations as is done in, for example, [8].

where H is the Hamiltonian of the system and Q its total charge. In this coordinate frame the fluid velocity is $u^i = 0$. When external sources are turned on, an interesting feature of the equilibrium partition function is that constant sources A_0 , h_{00} and h_{0i} may be eliminated by a suitable redefinition of thermodynamic variables. To see this, consider the Euclidean theory. Let τ be the Euclidean time with period $\beta = 1/T$. The chemical potential may be defined through the Wilson loop around the time circle

$$\frac{\mu}{T} = i \int_0^\beta d\tau A_\tau. \tag{4.5}$$

Suppose that the fluid is subjected to constant sources A_0 , h_{00} , and h_{0i} . A constant contribution to A_0 shifts the chemical potential such that $\mu'/T' = \mu/T + A_0/T$. A constant h_{00} can be eliminated in a similar manner by rescaling τ . Since the length of the time circle does not change under a rescaling, the periodicity of τ must be shifted to $\beta' = \beta(1 - h_{00}/2)$ to first order in h_{00} . The temperature and chemical potential are then

$$T' = T \left(1 + \frac{h_{00}}{2} \right), \quad \mu' = \mu \left(1 + \frac{h_{00}}{2} \right) + A_0, \tag{4.6}$$

to first order in external fields. Finally, a constant perturbation of the Minkowski metric h_{0i} may be removed by a coordinate transformation. The fluid velocity u^i is invariant under this transformation, to first order in h_{0i} . Thus, the partition function in the presence of constant A_0 , h_{00} , and h_{0i} takes the form

$$Z[T, \mu; A_0, h_{00}, h_{0i}] = Z \left[T \left(1 + \frac{h_{00}}{2} \right), \mu \left(1 + \frac{h_{00}}{2} \right) + A_0; 0, 0, 0 \right], \tag{4.7}$$

and the fluid velocity u^i is unchanged. Put differently, the thermodynamic behavior of a system with constant and small A_0 , h_{00} and h_{0i} is equivalent to the thermodynamic behavior of the same system with zero A_0 , h_{00} and h_{0i} but appropriately shifted temperature, chemical potential, and normalized three-velocity field. Retarded functions at zero frequency may then be evaluated in static equilibrium with $u^i = 0$. By using the expression for the generating function on the right hand side of (4.7) as well as the constitutive relations (2.2) we find

$$\lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0} G_R^{0,0}(\omega=0, \mathbf{k}) = \left(\frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \mu} \right)_T, \quad \lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0} G_R^{0,00}(\omega=0, \mathbf{k}) = T \left(\frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial T} \right)_{\mu/T}, \tag{4.8a}$$

$$\lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0} G_R^{00,0}(\omega=0, \mathbf{k}) = \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_0}{\partial \mu} \right)_T, \quad \lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0} G_R^{00,00}(\omega=0, \mathbf{k}) = T \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_0}{\partial T} \right)_{\mu/T} + \epsilon_0. \tag{4.8b}$$

We will refer to the relations (4.8) as susceptibility conditions, and impose them as constraints that the hydrodynamic retarded functions evaluated later in this section must satisfy.

The response functions defined in (4.3) are also constrained by their behavior under time-reversal \mathbf{T} which is the basis for the Onsager relations [11, 12]. For two local Hermitian operators \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 which transform in a definite way under time reversal,

$\Theta \mathcal{O}_i \Theta^{-1} = n_i \mathcal{O}_i$, the anti-unitarity of the time-reversal operator Θ combined with translation invariance implies

$$G_R^{ij}(x) \equiv i\theta(t) \text{Tr}(\varrho[\mathcal{O}_i(t, \mathbf{x}), \mathcal{O}_j(0)]) = i\theta(t) n_i n_j \text{Tr}(\varrho'[\mathcal{O}_j(t, -\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{O}_i(0)]), \quad (4.9)$$

where $\varrho' = \Theta \varrho \Theta^{-1}$ is the time reversed density operator. We allow for the possibility that \mathbf{T} may be broken in the microscopic theory by a set of real parameters such as fermion masses or the magnetic field, which we collectively denote as b_a . The transformation \mathbf{T}' , which is \mathbf{T} combined with $b_a \rightarrow -b_a$, is then a symmetry, so that $\varrho'(b_a) = \varrho(-b_a)$. The Fourier transform of the retarded function must then satisfy

$$G_R^{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{k}; b_a) = n_i n_j G_R^{ji}(\omega, -\mathbf{k}; -b_a), \quad (4.10)$$

which will impose constraints on the transport coefficients.

The canonical definition of the retarded function implies

$$\text{Im} G_R^{ii}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \geq 0, \quad (4.11)$$

for $\omega \geq 0$. When applied to the Kubo formulas for transport coefficients, this condition can be used to argue that the viscosities η and ζ , and the conductivity σ cannot be negative. This agrees with the argument [1] that η , ζ , and σ contribute to entropy production and therefore cannot be negative. However, (4.11) does not constrain the sign of their parity-odd cousins $\tilde{\eta}$, $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$, and $\tilde{\sigma}$.

4.2 Parity-preserving hydrodynamics

We first discuss the simpler case of fluids where parity is a symmetry of the microscopic theory. The constitutive relations in the Landau frame are given by (2.3) and (2.5) with the parity-odd terms omitted,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_0 u^\mu u^\nu + P_0 \Delta^{\mu\nu} - \zeta \nabla_\mu u^\mu - \eta \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$J^\mu = \rho_0 u^\mu + \Delta^{\mu\lambda} [\sigma V_\lambda + \chi_E E_\lambda + \chi_T \nabla_\lambda T], \quad (4.13)$$

where $E^\mu = F^{\mu\nu} u_\nu$, $V_\mu = E_\mu - T \Delta_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\nu (\mu/T)$ and

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \left[\Delta_{\mu\alpha} \Delta_{\nu\beta} + \Delta_{\nu\alpha} \Delta_{\mu\beta} - \Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\alpha\beta} \right] \nabla^\alpha u^\beta. \quad (4.14)$$

While the constitutive relations are written in a particular frame, the correlation functions we obtain are frame invariant. They depend on the physical parameters defined in (3.16). In the parity-preserving case and in the Landau frame, these physical parameters are η , ζ , σ , χ_E , and χ_T .

As we have shown in section 3, the positivity of entropy production implies that η , ζ , and σ are non-negative, while χ_T and χ_E both vanish. Alternatively, the same results follow from the properties of the retarded two-point functions described in section 4.1. We will see that the conditions

$$\eta \geq 0, \quad \zeta \geq 0, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad (4.15)$$

follow from the positivity of the spectral function (4.11), while the conditions

$$\chi_T = 0, \quad \chi_E = 0, \tag{4.16}$$

follow from the susceptibility constraints (4.8).

To compute the retarded functions we need to solve the linearized hydrodynamic equations. The equilibrium state is described by a stationary and homogenous solution to the equations of motion (1.1) which has constant energy density ϵ_0 , constant pressure P_0 , the velocity field $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0)$, and vanishing sources. We solve the linearized equations of motion (1.1) in the presence of external sources $h_{\mu\nu}$ and A_μ for $\delta\mu$, δT , δu^1 and δu^2 which specify the linearized corrections to the chemical potential, temperature, and spatial components of the velocity field respectively.

The linearized hydrodynamic equations of motion may be written as

$$DX = \mathcal{S}, \tag{4.17}$$

where $X = (\delta\mu, \delta T, \delta u^1, \delta u^2)$ is a vector of linearized hydrodynamic variables, D is a matrix of second-order differential operators, and \mathcal{S} is a vector built out of the external sources $h_{\mu\nu}$, A_μ , and their derivatives. This system of differential equations may be Fourier transformed to obtain a set of algebraic equations for the Fourier components of the hydrodynamic variables. Taking the spatial momentum \mathbf{k} in the x^1 direction gives

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} k^2\sigma - i\omega\frac{\partial\rho_0}{\partial\mu} - k^2\left(\frac{\mu}{T}\sigma + \chi_T\right) - i\omega\frac{\partial\rho_0}{\partial T} & ik\rho_0 & 0 \\ -i\omega\frac{\partial\epsilon_0}{\partial\mu} & -i\omega\frac{\partial\epsilon_0}{\partial T} & ik(\epsilon_0 + P_0) & 0 \\ ik\rho_0 & ks_0 & k^2(\eta + \zeta) - i\omega(\epsilon_0 + P_0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k^2\eta - i\omega(\epsilon_0 + P_0) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.18}$$

where s_0 is the entropy density, $k \equiv |\mathbf{k}|$, and all derivatives are evaluated at constant μ or T . The eigenvectors of the matrix D correspond to the hydrodynamic modes of the theory, whose dispersion relations may be obtained by solving for the roots of the determinant of D .

Before presenting explicit expressions for the correlation functions, we pause to note that we are working with hydrodynamics to first order in derivatives, ignoring possible two-derivative terms in the constitutive relations.⁵ This means that equations (4.17) are valid only to second order in gradients of the hydrodynamic variables. In linearized hydrodynamics, possible two-derivative terms in the constitutive relations may be accounted for if we replace \mathcal{S} with $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{O}(\partial^3)$, and D with $D + \mathcal{O}(\partial^3)$ where by $\mathcal{O}(\partial^3)$ we mean expressions whose combined powers of k and ω are greater than or equal to three. For example, the fluctuation of the transverse velocity δu^2 takes the form

$$\delta u^2[\mathcal{S}] = \frac{\mathcal{S}_4 + \mathcal{O}(\partial^3)}{k^2\eta - i\omega(\epsilon_0 + P_0) + \mathcal{O}(\partial^3)}. \tag{4.19}$$

⁵Second-order hydrodynamics by itself is not a consistent effective description of fluids, as the infrared effects of hydrodynamic fluctuations turn out to be more important than those due to two-derivative terms in the constitutive relations. See for example ref. [57] for a discussion. We will ignore these fluctuation effects in this paper, which may be consistently done in the large- N limit [58].

We use parameterizations of this form to ensure that our results are free from these second-order corrections.

To impose the susceptibility condition (4.8a) we compute the density-density function $G_R^{0,0}(\omega, k)$ which can be obtained by solving the equations of motion (4.17) and using them to evaluate the one-point function $\mathcal{J}^0[\mathcal{S}]$. Differentiating the resulting $\mathcal{J}^0[\mathcal{S}]$ with respect to A_0 according to the definition (4.2a), we find

$$G_R^{0,0}(\omega, k) = \frac{k^2(k^2\eta - i\omega(\epsilon_0 + P_0))}{T \det(D)} \left\{ k^2(\epsilon_0 + P_0) \left[\sigma(\epsilon_0 + P_0) + \chi_E T \left(s_0 \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \mu} - \rho_0 \frac{\partial s_0}{\partial \mu} \right) + \chi_T T \rho_0 \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \mu} \right] - i\omega |\mathfrak{X}| T^2 (\rho_0^2 + (\sigma + \chi_E)(k^2(\eta + \zeta) + i\omega(\epsilon_0 + P_0))) \right\}, \quad (4.20)$$

where

$$|\mathfrak{X}| = \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial s_0}{\partial T} - \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial T} \frac{\partial s_0}{\partial \mu} \quad (4.21)$$

is the determinant of the thermodynamic susceptibility matrix. One can explicitly check that the $\mathcal{O}(\partial^3)$ terms do not contribute to the zero frequency, small momentum, limit of $G_R^{0,0}(\omega, k)$ and therefore we can reliably use (4.20) to compute

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} G_R^{0,0}(\omega=0, k) = \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \mu} + \frac{T \left(s_0 \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \mu} - \rho_0 \frac{\partial s_0}{\partial \mu} \right)}{(\epsilon_0 + P_0)\sigma + T\rho_0\chi_T} \chi_E. \quad (4.22)$$

The susceptibility constraint (4.8a) then implies $\chi_E = 0$. Similarly, a straightforward computation gives

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} G_R^{0,00}(\omega=0, k) = \frac{(\epsilon_0 + P_0)\sigma}{(\epsilon_0 + P_0)\sigma + T\rho_0\chi_T} T \left(\frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial T} \right)_{\frac{\mu}{T}} + \frac{(\epsilon_0 + P_0)T\chi_T}{(\epsilon_0 + P_0)\sigma + T\rho_0\chi_T} \left(\frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \mu} \right)_T. \quad (4.23)$$

Using the second equation in (4.8a), one finds $\chi_T = 0$.

For the retarded function $G_R^{12,12}$ one finds

$$G_R^{12,12}(\omega, k=0) = -P + i\eta\omega + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2). \quad (4.24)$$

The positivity condition (4.11) applied to the spectral function of T^{12} then implies that $\eta \geq 0$. An identical analysis with the spectral functions of T^{11} and j^1 shows that $\zeta \geq 0$ and $\sigma \geq 0$. We have not found any other restrictions which follow from our consistency conditions.

4.3 Parity-violating hydrodynamics

We now move on to parity-violating hydrodynamics in 2+1 dimensions. Equations (2.3) and (2.5) give the most general constitutive relations in the Landau frame in the absence

of parity as a symmetry. The linearized equations take the form (4.17) with

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} k^2\sigma - i\omega\frac{\partial\rho_0}{\partial\mu} - k^2\left(\frac{\mu}{T}\sigma + \chi_T\right) - i\omega\frac{\partial\rho_0}{\partial T} & ik\rho_0 & 0 \\ -i\omega\frac{\partial\epsilon_0}{\partial\mu} & -i\omega\frac{\partial\epsilon_0}{\partial T} & ik(\epsilon_0 + P_0) & 0 \\ ik\rho_0 & ik s_0 & k^2(\eta + \zeta) - i\omega(\epsilon_0 + P_0) & k^2(\tilde{\chi}_\Omega + \tilde{\eta}) \\ 0 & 0 & -k^2\tilde{\eta} & k^2\eta - i\omega(\epsilon_0 + P_0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.25)$$

Imposing the susceptibility constraints (4.8a) implies, via a computation identical to (4.22) and (4.23), that $\chi_E = 0$ and $\chi_T = 0$, exactly as in the parity-preserving hydrodynamics.

To obtain Kubo formulas for the $\tilde{\chi}$'s, it is useful to consider the combinations

$$\mathcal{C}^0 = \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial\rho_0}\right)_{\epsilon_0} \mathcal{J}^0 + \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial\epsilon_0}\right)_{\rho_0} \mathcal{T}^{00}, \quad \mathcal{C}^i = \mathcal{J}^i - R_0 \mathcal{T}^{0i}, \quad (4.26)$$

where $R_0 = \rho_0/(\epsilon_0 + P_0)$. A direct computation shows that at zero frequency, the $\mathcal{O}(k)$ parts of \mathcal{C}^0 , \mathcal{C}^i and \mathcal{T}^{ii} are reliably evaluated in first-order hydrodynamics. We then find the following relations for the retarded functions at $\omega=0$:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} \langle \mathcal{C}^0 \mathcal{J}^2 \rangle_R(0, k) = \tilde{\chi}_B, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} \langle \mathcal{C}^0 \mathcal{T}^{02} \rangle_R(0, k) = \tilde{\chi}_\Omega, \quad (4.27a)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} \langle \mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{J}^0 \rangle_R(0, k) = -\tilde{\chi}_E, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} \langle \mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{T}^{00} \rangle_R(0, k) = -T\tilde{\chi}_T, \quad (4.27b)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} \langle \mathcal{T}^{ii} \mathcal{J}^2 \rangle_R(0, k) = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{k} \langle \mathcal{T}^{ii} \mathcal{T}^{02} \rangle_R(0, k) = 0. \quad (4.27c)$$

Note that in (4.27c) no summation over i is implied. For general \mathbf{k} equations (4.27a) and (4.27b) become the Kubo formulas (1.11) written in section 1. The last line will be relevant later in section 5.

The $\tilde{\chi}$'s are also related by an Onsager relation. Using (4.10) to relate $\langle \mathcal{C}^0 \mathcal{C}^2 \rangle$ to $\langle \mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{C}^0 \rangle$ and using the Kubo formulas (4.27) we find

$$\tilde{\chi}_B(b_a) - R_0 \tilde{\chi}_\Omega(b_a) = - \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial\rho_0}\right)_{\epsilon_0} \tilde{\chi}_E(-b_a) - \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial\epsilon_0}\right)_{\rho_0} T \tilde{\chi}_T(-b_a). \quad (4.28)$$

If we assume that $\tilde{\chi}_E$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$ are \mathbf{T}' -odd (or alternatively, that $\tilde{\chi}_B$ and $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$ are \mathbf{T}' -odd), then (4.28) becomes

$$\tilde{\chi}_B - R_0 \tilde{\chi}_\Omega = \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial\rho_0}\right)_{\epsilon_0} \tilde{\chi}_E + \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial\epsilon_0}\right)_{\rho_0} T \tilde{\chi}_T, \quad (4.29)$$

where we have used the fact that the pressure is even under the symmetry \mathbf{T}' . The same relation follows from the expressions (1.9) that we obtained for the $\tilde{\chi}$'s by demanding the existence of a positive-divergence entropy current (there is also a differential relation between the $\tilde{\chi}$'s which follows from (1.9)).

We have not found any susceptibility or covariance conditions that relate $\tilde{\eta}$ or $\tilde{\sigma}$ to other transport coefficients or thermodynamic functions. However, we do find Kubo formulas for

them,

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_R^{12,11}(\omega, 0)}{i\omega} = \tilde{\eta}, \quad \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_R^{1,2}(\omega, 0)}{i\omega} = \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\chi}_E, \quad (4.30)$$

which can be combined with limits of other retarded functions to give the Kubo formulas (1.10a) and (1.10b) presented in section 1. Finally, the finite-frequency, zero momentum retarded functions $G_R^{12,12}$, $G_R^{11,11}$, and $G_R^{1,1}$ are the same as in the parity-preserving case. The constraints from positivity of the spectral functions are then unchanged, giving (4.15).

5 Thermodynamics

The coefficients $\tilde{\chi}_E$, $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$, $\tilde{\chi}_B$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$ may be evaluated from the zero-frequency limits of the retarded functions of the energy-momentum tensor and the current by (4.27). The retarded functions at zero frequency coincide with the Euclidean time-ordered functions at zero frequency, and the latter can be computed using the Euclidean functional integral method in the grand canonical ensemble. Therefore, it seems natural that the coefficients $\tilde{\chi}_E$, $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$, $\tilde{\chi}_B$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$ should have a thermodynamic interpretation in terms of the derivatives of the partition function with respect to the parameters which leave the system in equilibrium. In this section we will give such a thermodynamic interpretation to the functions $\mathcal{M}_B(T, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\Omega(T, \mu)$ which determine the off-equilibrium entropy current, by treating B and Ω as parameters which characterize the equilibrium state. Equations (1.9) then determine the coefficients $\tilde{\chi}_E$, $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$, $\tilde{\chi}_B$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$ in terms of the derivatives of the pressure with respect to B and Ω .

Equilibrium states exist with non-zero magnetic field B , in which the pressure will depend on T , μ , and B . In addition, on compact manifolds one may have equilibrium configurations with non-zero vorticity Ω . Consider a uniformly rotating fluid on a flat disk with radius R and constant angular velocity ω , satisfying $\omega R \ll 1$. Working to linear order in ωR the velocity field is given by

$$u^\mu = (1, -\omega x^2, \omega x^1), \quad (5.1)$$

where x^1 and x^2 are the two spatial coordinates, and the coordinate frame is assumed to be inertial, i.e. $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$. The vorticity for this configuration is constant,⁶

$$-\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\mu \partial_\nu u_\rho = 2\omega. \quad (5.2)$$

The rotating fluid (5.1) satisfies the conservation equations (1.1) with constant energy and charge densities and exhibits vanishing $\nabla_\mu u^\mu$, V_μ , and $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$. To first order in derivatives the amount of entropy produced is determined by (3.13) and (3.15),

$$\partial_\mu J_s^\mu = \frac{\zeta(\partial \cdot u)^2 + \eta \sigma^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \sigma V_\mu V^\mu}{T}.$$

⁶Instead of considering a rotating fluid on a disk, one could consider a rotating spacetime in which the fluid velocity is $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0)$ which is related to (5.1) via a coordinate transformation. The value of the vorticity will, of course, be the same for both configurations.

Since $\partial_\mu J_s^\mu = 0$ for the flow described above we expect it to correspond to an equilibrium state. Other examples of equilibrium configurations with non-zero vorticity can be found, for example, in [59, 60].

If vorticity is a parameter which characterizes an equilibrium state, the thermodynamic pressure will depend on μ , T , B , and Ω , so that

$$dP = s dT + \rho d\mu + \frac{\partial P}{\partial B} dB + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega} d\Omega, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\epsilon + P = sT + \rho\mu. \quad (5.4)$$

To first order in B and Ω , the energy-momentum tensor and charge current in such an equilibrium state must take the general form

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= (\epsilon - e_B B - e_\Omega \Omega) u^\mu u^\nu + (P - \tilde{x}_B B - \tilde{x}_\Omega \Omega) \Delta^{\mu\nu}, \\ J^\mu &= (\rho - r_B B - r_\Omega \Omega) u^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where e_B , e_Ω , \tilde{x}_B , \tilde{x}_Ω , r_B , r_Ω are functions of T and μ . Since (5.5) describes an equilibrium configuration, the quantities e_B , e_Ω , \tilde{x}_B , \tilde{x}_Ω , r_B , r_Ω are all measurable. In the remainder of this section we obtain the most general expression for these parameters within the framework described in the previous sections. Indeed, the expressions (5.5) fall into the class of energy-momentum tensors and currents described by (2.2), where we now view the terms in $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ and Υ^μ proportional to B and Ω as equilibrium quantities. Therefore, we are free to use our results from sections 3 and 4 specialized to equilibrium configurations with non-zero B and Ω in our analysis.

Since we are assuming that B and Ω label equilibrium states, the Euclidean partition function Z will depend on μ , T , B , and Ω . Recalling footnote 6, it is convenient to work in a coordinate system where $u^i = 0$ in equilibrium. To linear order in fluctuations, the vorticity is $\Omega = \partial_1 u^2 - \partial_2 u^1 + \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i h_{0j}$. However, for this equilibrium state and in this coordinate system, $\partial_i u^j$ is higher order in k and the vorticity reduces to $\Omega = \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i h_{0j}$. In this case, since small shifts of the magnetic field $B = \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i A_j$ and the metric perturbations $\epsilon^{ij} \partial_i h_{0j}$ do not affect the radius of the Euclidean time circle or the Wilson line around it, T and μ should not change to first order in B and Ω . Thus, using the same formalism as the one described in section 4.1 which led to (4.8), we expect that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} G_R^{0,2}(0, k) = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial B} - r_B, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} G_R^{00,2}(0, k) = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial B} - e_B, \quad (5.6a)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} G_R^{0,02}(0, k) = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \Omega} - r_\Omega, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} G_R^{00,02}(0, k) = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \Omega} - e_\Omega, \quad (5.6b)$$

where r_B , r_Ω , e_B , and e_Ω were defined in (5.5).

Now we recall the Onsager relation (4.28) obtained at the end of section 4. Combined with the expressions for the $\tilde{\chi}$'s in (1.9), obtained via the entropy current, we find that the combination $(\partial P_0 / \partial \rho_0) \tilde{\chi}_E + (\partial P_0 / \partial \epsilon_0) T \tilde{\chi}_T$ is odd under the symmetry \mathbf{T}' , which is time-reversal \mathbf{T} combined with sending the \mathbf{T} -violating parameters $b_a \rightarrow -b_a$. In what follows we assume that both $\tilde{\chi}_E$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$ are \mathbf{T}' -odd.

Applying the Onsager relations (4.10) to the Kubo formulas (4.27b) for $\tilde{\chi}_E$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$ we find

$$\tilde{\chi}_E = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} \left(G_R^{0,2}(0, k) - R_0 G_R^{0,02}(0, k) \right), \quad T \tilde{\chi}_T = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} \left(G_R^{00,2}(0, k) - R_0 G_R^{00,02}(0, k) \right). \quad (5.7a)$$

The Kubo formulas for $\tilde{\chi}_B$ and $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$ in (4.27a) are also linear combinations of the same retarded functions,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_B &= \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} G_R^{0,2}(0, k) + \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} G_R^{00,2}(0, k) \right), \\ \tilde{\chi}_\Omega &= \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} \left(\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} G_R^{0,02}(0, k) + \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} G_R^{00,02}(0, k) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7b)$$

Solving (5.7) for $G_R^{0,2}$, $G_R^{00,2}$, $G_R^{0,02}$, and $G_R^{00,02}$ and using (1.9) we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} G_R^{0,2}(0, k) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial \mu}, \quad (5.8a)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} G_R^{00,2}(0, k) = T \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial T} + \mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial \mu} - \mathcal{M}_B, \quad (5.8b)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} G_R^{0,02}(0, k) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial \mu} - \mathcal{M}_B, \quad (5.8c)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} G_R^{00,02}(0, k) = T \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial T} + \mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial \mu} - 2\mathcal{M}_\Omega + f_\Omega(T). \quad (5.8d)$$

Comparing (5.8) to (5.6) we find

$$T \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial T} + \mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial \mu} - \mathcal{M}_B = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial B} - e_B, \quad (5.9a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_B}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial B} - r_B, \quad (5.9b)$$

$$T \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial T} + \mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial \mu} - \mathcal{M}_\Omega = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \Omega} + \mathcal{M}_\Omega - f_\Omega(T) - e_\Omega, \quad (5.9c)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \Omega} + \mathcal{M}_B - r_\Omega. \quad (5.9d)$$

By defining $\bar{r}_\Omega = r_\Omega - \mathcal{M}_B$ and $\bar{e}_\Omega = e_\Omega - \mathcal{M}_\Omega + f_\Omega$, equations (5.9) can be brought to a more symmetric form. We can solve for \mathcal{M}_B , \mathcal{M}_Ω , e_B and \bar{e}_Ω in terms of the functions r_B and \bar{r}_Ω ,

$$\begin{aligned} e_B &= T^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int^\mu r_B d\mu \right) + \mu r_B - T^2 q'_B(T), \\ \bar{e}_\Omega &= T^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int^\mu \bar{r}_\Omega d\mu \right) + \mu \bar{r}_\Omega - T^2 q'_\Omega(T), \\ \mathcal{M}_B &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial B} - \int^\mu r_B d\mu + T q_B(T), \\ \mathcal{M}_\Omega &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega} + \int^\mu \bar{r}_\Omega d\mu + T q_\Omega(T), \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where $q_B(T)$ and $q_\Omega(T)$ are undetermined functions.

The coefficients \tilde{x}_B and \tilde{x}_Ω can be determined from the retarded functions

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} G_R^{ii,2}(0, k) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial B} - \tilde{x}_B, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{ik} G_R^{ii,02}(0, k) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega} - \tilde{x}_\Omega, \quad (5.11)$$

with no summation over i . Using (4.27c) and (5.11) we find that

$$\tilde{x}_B = \frac{\partial P}{\partial B}, \quad \tilde{x}_\Omega = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega}. \quad (5.12)$$

If B and Ω are indeed equilibrium parameters, we should find that in an equilibrium state characterized by T , μ , B and Ω ,

$$u_\mu J_s^\mu = -s = -s_0 - \frac{\partial s}{\partial B} B - \frac{\partial s}{\partial \Omega} \Omega. \quad (5.13)$$

Going back to our expression for the entropy current in (3.6) and using the expressions for Υ^μ and $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ from (2.2) and (5.5), we find that (5.13) implies

$$T\tilde{\nu}_4 = \frac{\partial P}{\partial B} + (e_B - \mu r_B), \quad (5.14)$$

$$T\tilde{\nu}_5 = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega} + (e_\Omega - \mu r_\Omega). \quad (5.15)$$

Remembering the definition $\mathcal{M}_B = T\tilde{\nu}_4$ and comparing (5.14) with (5.10) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \int^\mu r_B d\mu = \partial_T(q_B T), \quad (5.16)$$

from which we conclude that $r_B = r_B(\mu)$ and $q_B(T) = q_0/T$, with constant q_0 . Defining $h_B(\mu) = q_0 - \int^\mu r_B(\mu) d\mu$ we find, for $\Omega = 0$, that the equilibrium energy-momentum tensor and current take the form,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + (\mu h'_B - h_B)B) u^\mu u^\nu + \left(P - \frac{\partial P}{\partial B} B \right) \Delta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (5.17)$$

$$J^\mu = (\rho + h'_B B) u^\mu, \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_B = \frac{\partial P}{\partial B} + h_B(\mu). \quad (5.19)$$

The result (5.17) with $h_B = 0$ is the canonical expression for the stress tensor and current of a fluid at non-zero B and $\Omega = 0$, see for example [4, 61]. Following the literature, we set $h_B = 0$, although we have not found a constraint that eliminates it.⁷ Note that $h_B = 0$ implies that $e_B = r_B = 0$. Then the only effect of the magnetic field is to shift the equilibrium value of $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ away from the thermodynamic pressure by the term $-(\partial P/\partial B)B$. We will refer to this difference as a ‘‘subtraction’’.

⁷In the example of free fermions in a magnetic background field, the thermodynamic charge density $\rho = \partial P/\partial \mu$ does receive an additional term linearly proportional to B . However, this contribution is contained in $\rho(B)$, and is not associated with a potential h_B subtraction.

Unfortunately, a similar analysis involving the entropy current in equilibrium cannot be carried out for the coefficients r_Ω and e_Ω . As emphasized below equation (3.7), the definition of the entropy current is inherently ambiguous. As a result, fixing $\tilde{\nu}_5$ through (5.15) without additional knowledge of $\tilde{\nu}_3$ or $\tilde{\nu}_1$ does not provide additional constraints on the thermodynamic response parameters. However, based on the similarity of the equations for \bar{r}_Ω , \bar{e}_Ω and \mathcal{M}_Ω in (5.9) with those for r_B , e_B and \mathcal{M}_B , we conjecture that

$$\bar{r}_\Omega = \bar{e}_\Omega = 0, \quad \mathcal{M}_\Omega = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega}. \quad (5.20)$$

This leads us to the equilibrium constitutive relations⁸

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= (\epsilon - (\mathcal{M}_\Omega - f_\Omega)\Omega) u^\mu u^\nu + (P - (\mathcal{M}_B B + \mathcal{M}_\Omega \Omega)) \Delta^{\mu\nu}, \\ J^\mu &= (\rho - \mathcal{M}_B \Omega) u^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

together with

$$\mathcal{M}_\Omega = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega}, \quad \mathcal{M}_B = \frac{\partial P}{\partial B}. \quad (5.22)$$

These relations determine the ‘magnetovortical’ frame (1.14), and we will discuss the above results in section 7.

6 A holographic model

In this section we obtain explicit expressions for the constitutive relations, transport coefficients, and thermodynamic response parameters of parity-violating hydrodynamics in 2+1 dimensions in a relatively simple model. This is made tractable by the AdS/CFT correspondence. Our holographic setup is described by the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + \frac{6}{L^2} - \frac{1}{4} F^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\varphi)^2 - V[\varphi] \right] - \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \int d^4x \theta[\varphi] \epsilon^{abcd} F_{ab} F_{cd} + S_{\text{bdy}}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\theta[\varphi] = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \varphi + \mathcal{O}(\varphi^2)$ is a function specifying the parity-violating axion coupling, the potential satisfies $V[0] = V'[0] = 0$, and S_{bdy} is an appropriate boundary contribution to the action. We will denote the coordinates as $x^a = (x^\mu, r)$, where r foliates the spacetime such that $r \rightarrow \infty$ is an asymptotically AdS boundary, and x^μ are coordinates on a constant r hypersurface. We denote the completely antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol by ϵ^{abcd} where $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$.

The AdS/CFT correspondence [62–64] putatively relates the gravitational theory defined by (6.1) to a strongly coupled 2 + 1-dimensional theory which can be thought of as living on the asymptotically AdS boundary of the spacetime located at $r \rightarrow \infty$. Equation (6.1) defines a simple two-derivative action which can describe parity violation in the charged sector of the dual field theory. There are a number of string-theoretic embeddings

⁸Using (3.16a) and (3.25), we find that the parameters $\tilde{x}_B = \partial P / \partial B = \mathcal{M}_B$ and $\tilde{x}_\Omega = \partial P / \partial \Omega = \mathcal{M}_\Omega$ are related to $\tilde{\chi}_B$ and $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$ in the Landau frame (1.2) by $\tilde{x}_B = \tilde{\chi}_B + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial B} - \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial B} - \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial B} \right)$, $\tilde{x}_\Omega = \tilde{\chi}_\Omega + \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} (\mathcal{M}_\Omega - f_\Omega) + \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \mathcal{M}_B + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega} - \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \epsilon_0} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \Omega} - \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial \rho_0} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \Omega} \right)$.

of (6.1), including the worldvolume theory of probe branes dual to flavor multiplets [65], as well as truncations of 11-dimensional supergravity [66]. A similar holographic model, with parity violation introduced through a gravitational axion rather than an electromagnetic axion, has recently been studied in [10].

The action (6.1) leads to the equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab} - \frac{R}{2}g_{ab} &= \frac{3}{L^2}g_{ab} + \tau_{ab}, \\ \partial_a \left(\sqrt{-g}F^{ab} \right) &= \frac{\kappa^2}{8\pi^2}\epsilon^{bcde}\partial_c\theta F_{de}, \\ \partial_a \left(\sqrt{-g}\partial^a\varphi \right) &= \sqrt{-g}V'[\varphi] + \frac{\theta'[\varphi]\kappa^2}{32\pi^2}\epsilon^{abcd}F_{ab}F_{cd}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where we defined

$$\tau_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left[F_{ac}F_b{}^c - \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}F^2 + \partial_a\varphi\partial_b\varphi - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}(\partial\varphi)^2 - g_{ab}V[\varphi] \right], \tag{6.3}$$

which is not to be confused with $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ from (2.2). In the remainder of this section we choose units in which $L = 1$.

The AdS/CFT correspondence relates solutions of (6.2) to expectation values of operators in the dual 2 + 1-dimensional field theory. In particular, the gauge field A_μ is dual to a conserved current J^μ whose expectation value is given by

$$\langle J^\mu(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_B}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_\mu(x)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_B}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\frac{\sqrt{-g}F^{3\mu}(r)}{2\kappa^2} + \frac{\theta}{16\pi^2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho 3}F_{\nu\rho}(r) \right), \tag{6.4}$$

where g_B is the background metric of the dual field theory. Similarly, the scalar field φ is dual to a neutral scalar operator \mathcal{O}_φ . The conformal dimension Δ of \mathcal{O}_φ , satisfying $3/2 < \Delta < 3$,⁹ is related to the mass of φ through $V''[0] = \Delta(\Delta - 3)$. We will break conformal invariance by turning on a source term $J_\varphi = \Lambda^{3-\Delta}$ dual to \mathcal{O}_φ , with Λ characterizing the strength of the source. In other words, we will deform the field theory action by a $\frac{1}{\Delta - \frac{3}{2}} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g_B} J_\varphi \mathcal{O}_\varphi$ term, where the prefactor $(\Delta - \frac{3}{2})^{-1}$ was introduced in [67] in order to have a smooth $\Delta \rightarrow 3/2$ limit. Near the boundary, we find according to [67] that

$$\varphi(r \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{\Lambda^{3-\Delta}}{\Delta - \frac{3}{2}} r^{\Delta-3} (1 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})) + \frac{\kappa^2 \langle \mathcal{O}_\varphi \rangle}{\Delta - \frac{3}{2}} r^{-\Delta} (1 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})). \tag{6.5}$$

We will restrict our attention to the high-temperature regime $T \gg \Lambda$, $T \gg \mu$ which evades potential low-temperature instabilities, and work perturbatively in small μ , J_φ , B , and Ω . The expectation value of the energy-momentum tensor of the boundary theory can be computed along similar lines to [68, 69]. However, in this work we will only need the trace T_μ^μ of the boundary theory which can be computed from \mathcal{O}_φ using

$$\langle T_\mu^\mu \rangle = \frac{3 - \Delta}{\Delta - \frac{3}{2}} \Lambda^{3-\Delta} \langle \mathcal{O}_\varphi \rangle. \tag{6.6}$$

⁹Unitarity implies that $\Delta \geq 1/2$, while \mathcal{O}_φ is a relevant operator if $\Delta \leq 3$. We focus here on $\Delta \in (3/2, 3)$ for computational convenience. We expect that our results may be analytically continued to $1/2 \leq \Delta \leq 3$.

The Ward identity (6.6) can be derived holographically as in [69], or by a field theory computation as in, for example, [70]. For particular values of Δ there may be an anomalous contribution to the trace of the stress tensor associated with a matter conformal anomaly. We study values of Δ for which this anomaly is absent.

6.1 Equilibrium configurations

In this subsection we construct equilibrium solutions to (6.2) to first order in the magnetic field and vorticity. Various solutions to (6.2) with $\varphi = 0$ and non-vanishing magnetic field and vorticity do appear in the literature [71–74]. However, a more useful starting point for our analysis is the solution described, for example, in [75] (see also [76, 77]),

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ab}dx^a dx^b &= 2dt dr + r^2(-f(r)dt^2 + d\mathbf{x}^2), & f(r) &= 1 - \frac{r_H^3}{r^3} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \\ A_a dx^a &= \left(\mu - \frac{q}{r}\right) dt + \mathcal{O}(J_\varphi^2), & & (6.7) \\ \varphi &= \left(\frac{\Lambda}{r_H}\right)^{3-\Delta} \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{\Delta}{3})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{2\Delta}{3})} P_{\frac{\Delta}{3}-1}\left(-1 + \frac{2r^3}{r_H^3}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^3), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_\lambda(z)$ is a Legendre function of the first kind. The temperature of the boundary theory is given by

$$T = \frac{3r_H}{4\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \tag{6.8}$$

the chemical potential is $\mu = q/r_H$, and the charge density is $\rho = q/2\kappa^2$.

We would like to turn on a small magnetic field and vorticity such that the boundary theory stays in equilibrium. Consider the ansatz,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g_{ab}dx^a dx^b &= 2\Omega r^2 f(r) x^1 dt dx^2 - \Omega x^1 dr dx^2 + \mathcal{O}(J_\varphi^2), \\ \delta A_a dx^a &= \left(B + \frac{\mu r_H \Omega}{r}\right) x^1 dx^2 + \mathcal{O}(J_\varphi^2), \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

which describes a configuration in the boundary theory with non-zero vorticity Ω and non-zero magnetic field B . When $\theta[\varphi] = 0$, (6.9) solves the equations of motion (6.2) to linear order in B and Ω . We may now solve (6.2) for $\theta[\varphi] \neq 0$ by further perturbing the solution in (6.9) to linear order in B and Ω . We find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_0 &= -\frac{\kappa^2 \theta_1}{4\pi^2} \left[\frac{r_H}{r} \alpha_0 + \int_\infty^r \frac{dr'}{r'^2} \int_{r_H}^{r'} dr'' \varphi'(r'') \left(B + \frac{\mu r_H \Omega}{r''} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \\ \delta \varphi &= -\frac{\kappa^2 \theta_1 \mu r_H}{4\pi^2} \varphi(r) \int_\infty^r \frac{dr'}{f(r') r'^4 \varphi(r')^2} \int_{r_H}^{r'} \frac{dr'' \varphi(r'')}{r''^2} \left(B + \frac{\mu r_H \Omega}{r''} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2 B, \mu^3 \Omega, J_\varphi), \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

where θ_1 is defined below (6.1), and α_0 is a constant, chosen so that δA_0 vanishes at the horizon,

$$\alpha_0 = \int_{r_H}^\infty \frac{dr}{r^2} \int_{r_H}^r dr' \varphi'(r') \left(B + \frac{q\Omega}{r'} \right). \tag{6.11}$$

In obtaining (6.10), we have applied equilibrium boundary conditions to the equations of motion. Namely, regularity at the horizon and normalizability at the AdS boundary. This last condition corresponds to holding the sources of the boundary theory, $\mu = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} A_0$ and $J_\varphi = \Lambda^{3-\Delta}$, fixed. The perturbations δA_0 and $\delta\varphi$ also source metric corrections, whose effect on susceptibilities is suppressed at order $\mu^2 J_\varphi$. The magnetic field and vorticity appear in the combination $B + q\Omega/r$, which is a consequence of Ω appearing in (6.9).

Using (6.4) and (6.5) and integrating by parts we find that the boundary theory current and scalar expectation values are shifted by

$$\delta\langle J^0 \rangle = \frac{\theta_1 r_H}{8\pi^2} \left[B \int_{r_H}^{\infty} \frac{dr \varphi}{r^2} + \mu\Omega \left(2r_H \int_{r_H}^{\infty} \frac{dr \varphi}{r^3} - \int_{r_H}^{\infty} \frac{dr \varphi}{r^2} \right) \right] + \frac{\theta_0 B}{8\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, \mu^2 B, \mu^2 \Omega, J_\varphi^2), \quad (6.12a)$$

$$\delta\langle \mathcal{O}_\varphi \rangle = \Lambda^{\Delta-3} \left(\Delta - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\theta_1 \mu r_H}{8\pi^2} \int_{r_H}^{\infty} \frac{dr \varphi}{r^2} \left(B + \frac{\mu r_H \Omega}{r} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2 B, \mu^3 \Omega, J_\varphi). \quad (6.12b)$$

In what follows it will be convenient to define

$$\Phi_n = \frac{\theta_1 r_H}{8\pi^2} \int_{r_H}^{\infty} dr \frac{\varphi(r)}{r^n}. \quad (6.13)$$

where $\varphi(r)$ is given by (6.7). Using (6.6) we infer that, at $B = \Omega = 0$,

$$\frac{\partial\langle\delta J^0\rangle}{\partial B} = \Phi_2 + \frac{\theta_0}{8\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \quad \frac{\partial\langle\delta J^0\rangle}{\partial\Omega} = 2\mu r_H \Phi_3 - \mu\Phi_2 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \quad (6.14a)$$

$$\frac{\partial\langle\delta T_\mu^\mu\rangle}{\partial B} = (3 - \Delta)\mu\Phi_2 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \quad \frac{\partial\langle\delta T_\mu^\mu\rangle}{\partial\Omega} = (3 - \Delta)\mu r_H \Phi_3 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^3, J_\varphi^2). \quad (6.14b)$$

We are now in a position to compute all the susceptibilities. In particular, using the expressions $J^0 = \rho - \mathcal{M}_B \Omega$, $T^{00} = \epsilon + (f_\Omega(T) - \mathcal{M}_\Omega)\Omega$, $T^{11} = T^{22} = P - \mathcal{M}_B B - \mathcal{M}_\Omega \Omega$ and $dP = sdT + \mu d\rho + \mathcal{M}_B dB + \mathcal{M}_\Omega d\Omega$ from section 5, we find

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial B} = \Phi_2 + \frac{\theta_0}{8\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \quad \frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial B} = (\Delta - 3)\mu\Phi_2 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2). \quad (6.15a)$$

Integrating the Maxwell relation $\partial\rho/\partial B = \partial\mathcal{M}_B/\partial\mu$ leads to

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial B} = \mathcal{M}_B = \mu\Phi_2 + \frac{\mu\theta_0}{8\pi^2} + c_B(T) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \quad (6.15b)$$

where c_B is the magnetization density at $\mu = 0$. However, c_B vanishes due to the symmetry of the model under charge conjugation \mathbf{C} . Having obtained \mathcal{M}_B , we find the vortical variation of ρ , via $J^0 = \rho - \mathcal{M}_B \Omega$, to be

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\Omega} = 2\mu r_H \Phi_3 + \frac{\mu\theta_0}{8\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2). \quad (6.15c)$$

We then integrate the Maxwell relation $\partial\rho/\partial\Omega = \partial\mathcal{M}_\Omega/\partial\mu$ to find

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial\Omega} = \mathcal{M}_\Omega = \mu^2 r_H \Phi_3 + \frac{\mu^2 \theta_0}{16\pi^2} + c_\Omega(T) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^3, J_\varphi^2), \quad (6.15d)$$

where c_Ω is $\partial P/\partial\Omega$ at $\mu = 0$. We now point out that at $B = 0$ and $\mu = 0$ the perturbation (6.9) is an exact solution to (6.2) to linear order in Ω . It follows that the on-shell bulk action density, equal to the pressure of the boundary theory, is unchanged at this order so that $\partial P/\partial\Omega$ vanishes at $\mu = 0$. This eliminates c_Ω . Using the expressions for T^{00} and T^{ii} , we find the final susceptibility to be

$$\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\Omega} = (\Delta - 2)\mu^2 r_H \Phi_3 - f_\Omega(T) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^3, J_\varphi^2). \quad (6.15e)$$

We can determine $f_\Omega(T)$ by using

$$T\partial_T\varphi = r_H\partial_{r_H}\varphi = (\Delta - 3)\varphi - r\varphi', \quad (6.16)$$

which follows from (6.7), to show that the magnetic and vortical variations of ϵ are

$$\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial B} = T\frac{\partial\mathcal{M}_B}{\partial T} + \mu\frac{\partial\mathcal{M}_B}{\partial\mu} - \mathcal{M}_B, \quad (6.17a)$$

$$\frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial\Omega} = T\frac{\partial\mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial T} + \mu\frac{\partial\mathcal{M}_\Omega}{\partial\mu} - \mathcal{M}_\Omega - f_\Omega(T), \quad (6.17b)$$

at the order to which we are working. The thermodynamic relations (6.17a) and (6.17b) follow from (1.12), $dP = sdT + \rho d\mu + \mathcal{M}_B dB + \mathcal{M}_\Omega d\Omega$, and (1.13), $\epsilon + P = sT + \mu\rho$, only when $f_\Omega(T) = 0$, implying that f_Ω vanishes. We emphasize that in obtaining (6.15) we used (5.21) without deriving it explicitly in the holographic model. However, we note that (6.17) represents a nontrivial consistency check of (5.21).

6.2 Fluid-gravity correspondence

The fluid-gravity correspondence [33] provides a method to explicitly compute the hydrodynamic constitutive relations of the boundary theory. In this subsection we will use it to check the consistency of the parity-violating constitutive relations described in (1.14), (1.16) and (6.15). We begin with the background (6.7) boosted to a velocity u^μ normalized so that $u^\mu\eta_{\mu\nu}u^\nu = -1$, with η the Minkowski metric. In what follows we define $u_\mu = \eta_{\mu\nu}u^\nu$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ab}dx^a dx^b &= -2u_\mu dx^\mu dr + r^2(-f(r)u_\mu u_\nu + \Delta_{\mu\nu})dx^\mu dx^\nu + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi), \\ A_a dx^a &= \frac{\mu r_H}{r} u_\mu dx^\mu + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^3), \\ \varphi &= \left(\frac{\Lambda}{r_H}\right)^{3-\Delta} \frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta}{3}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\Delta}{3}\right)} P_{\frac{\Delta}{3}-1}\left(-1 + \frac{2r^3}{r_H^3}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

with $f(r)$ as in (6.7). We would like to retain the distinction between a chemical potential and an external background field in an out-of-equilibrium configuration. For this reason, the gauge field in (6.18) has been shifted relative to the one in (6.7). When u_μ , T , and μ are constant, the profiles (6.18) solve the equations of motion (6.2).

Consider an extension of the solution (6.18) where μ , T and u^μ vary slowly as a function of the x^μ coordinates, and, in addition, an external electromagnetic field whose magnitude is of the order of the gradients of μ , T and u^μ , is turned on. This extension of (6.18) no

longer solves the equations of motion (6.2), so the bulk fields need to be corrected. Since we have chosen u^μ , T and μ to depend slowly on the spacetime coordinates, we can correct the bulk fields order by order in derivatives of u^μ , T and μ . We write

$$g = g^{(0)} + g^{(1)} + \dots, \quad A = A^{(0)} + A^{(1)} + \dots, \quad \varphi = \varphi^{(0)} + \varphi^{(1)} + \dots, \quad (6.19)$$

where $g^{(0)}$, $A^{(0)}$, and $\varphi^{(0)}$ refer to (6.18) with slowly varying T , μ , and u_μ . See [33–35] for further details of the derivative expansion.

We parametrize the corrections to the metric and gauge field by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ab}^{(1)} dx^a dx^b &= -2g_1(r)u_\mu dx^\mu dr + r^2(g_0(r)u_\mu u_\nu + \gamma_\mu u_\nu + \gamma_\nu u_\mu + \pi_{\mu\nu} + g_1(r)\Delta_{\mu\nu})dx^\mu dx^\nu, \\ A_a^{(1)} dx^a &= -a_0(r)u_\mu dx^\mu + \alpha_\mu(r)dx^\mu + A_\mu^{\text{bg}} dx^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

where $\alpha_\mu(r)$ and $\gamma_\mu(r)$ are transverse to u^μ , $\pi_{\mu\nu}(r)$ is symmetric, traceless, and transverse to u^μ , and $A_\mu^{\text{bg}}(x^\lambda)$ is an arbitrary electromagnetic background gauge field, to first order in derivatives. To first order in the chemical potential, we can decouple the equations for $\gamma_\mu(r)$ and $\alpha_\mu(r)$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} (fr^2\alpha'_\mu)' &= \frac{\Delta^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu q + 2qu^\alpha\partial_\alpha u_\mu)}{r^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi^2}\theta_1\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}u_\nu \left[\left(E_{\text{bg},\rho} - \frac{\partial_\rho q + qu^\alpha\partial_\alpha u_\rho}{r} \right) \varphi^{(0)'} - \frac{q}{r^2}\partial_\rho\varphi^{(0)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

with $q = r_H\mu$ and $E_{\text{bg}}^\mu = F_{\text{bg}}^{\mu\nu}u_\nu$. Equation (6.21) may immediately be integrated to obtain α_μ and, via (6.4), the transverse component of the boundary current. We now translate this result into the constitutive relations given in (2.5c). To this end, we need to relate $u^\mu\partial_\mu u_\rho$ to $\Delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu T$, $\Delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\mu$ and E_{bg}^μ using the equations of motion of ideal hydrodynamics. Energy-momentum conservation of the boundary theory is encoded in the Einstein equations, as described in [78], yielding

$$u^\nu\partial_\nu u^\mu = \frac{\mu E_{\text{bg}}^\mu}{r_H^2} - \frac{\Delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu r_H}{r_H}, \quad (6.22)$$

which is just the transverse vector part of the ideal hydrodynamic equations. After using $q = r_H\mu$, (6.8), (6.15) and (6.16), we find that the constitutive relations of the holographic fluid take the form (1.14) with

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \quad \tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\theta_1}{8\pi^2}\varphi(r_H) - \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial B} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \quad (6.23a)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_E = \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial B} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2, J_\varphi^2), \quad T\tilde{\chi}_T = \frac{\partial\epsilon}{\partial B} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^3, J_\varphi^2), \quad (6.23b)$$

where the susceptibilities are given in (6.15), and the Hall viscosity vanishes, $\tilde{\eta} = 0$.¹⁰ The constitutive relations with (6.23) match our earlier predictions (1.9) once we recall that $\mathcal{M}_B = \mathcal{O}(\mu)$, $\mathcal{M}_\Omega = \mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$, $\partial\rho/\partial\Omega = \mathcal{O}(\mu)$, and $\partial\epsilon/\partial\Omega = \mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$, $R_0 = \mathcal{O}(\mu)$, so that their

¹⁰The linearized equations of motion for the tensor modes of the metric h_{12} and $(h_{11}-h_{22})$, which follow from (6.1), decouple from the equations for A_a and φ at $k = 0$. The Kubo formula (4.30) then implies that $\tilde{\eta} = 0$ is an exact relation in this model.

contributions to $\tilde{\chi}_E$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$ are $\mathcal{O}(\mu^2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mu^3)$, respectively. We also note that at this order the off-diagonal conductivity is given by

$$\tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\chi}_E = \frac{\theta(\varphi(r_H))}{8\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2), \quad (6.24)$$

which is reminiscent of the membrane paradigm [79].

We may compute the trace of the stress tensor by solving for the correction to the scalar field and using (6.6). At high temperatures the scalar equation of motion becomes

$$(f r^4 \varphi^{(1)'})' - r^2 \Delta(\Delta - 3)\varphi^{(1)} = -\frac{\kappa^2 \theta_1 \mu r_H}{4\pi^2 r^2} \left(B_{\text{bg}} + \frac{\mu r_H \Omega}{r} \right) + (\text{parity-even terms}), \quad (6.25)$$

where we have used the definitions $B_{\text{bg}} = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\mu F_{\nu\rho}^{\text{bg}}/2$ and $\Omega = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\nu \partial_\nu u_\rho$. The parity-violating part of the solution for $\varphi^{(1)}$ is the same as that for $\delta\varphi$, (6.10), as described in the previous subsection. Therefore, the shift in the trace of the energy-momentum tensor coincides with that described in (6.14b),

$$\delta\langle T_\mu^\mu \rangle = -B \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial B} - \Omega \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \Omega} - \mathcal{M}_\Omega \right) + \dots, \quad (6.26)$$

where the omitted terms are independent of B and Ω , and we have used the equilibrium susceptibilities (6.15). It is satisfying that the magnetic and vortical subtractions computed in equilibrium match the dynamical result as expected on general grounds.

7 Discussion

In this work, we have presented a general framework for first-order relativistic hydrodynamics in 2+1 dimensions, applicable to systems with parity violation. We have attempted to describe our computations and results in as simple a form as possible, avoiding unnecessary interpretation, with the results summarized in section 1. In this section we include a discussion of a few properties of this hydrodynamic framework.

- **Thermodynamic and hydrodynamic response.** The Kubo formulas computed in section 4, and summarized in section 1, naturally split into zero momentum (or hydrodynamic) correlators and zero frequency (or thermodynamic) correlators. The parameters η , ζ , σ , $\tilde{\eta}$, and $\tilde{\sigma}$ obtained from zero-momentum correlators are transport coefficients, while $\tilde{\chi}_E$, $\tilde{\chi}_T$, $\tilde{\chi}_B$ and $\tilde{\chi}_\Omega$ obtained from zero-frequency correlators are thermodynamic response parameters. The difference between hydrodynamic and thermodynamic response parameters has been discussed previously in the literature. See, for example, [8, 33, 80] for a discussion of thermodynamic response parameters in second order hydrodynamics in 3 + 1 dimensions, or [36] for examples of thermodynamic response parameters in first order parity-violating hydrodynamics in 3 + 1 dimensions. Curiously, the Kubo formulas for the anomalous 3 + 1-dimensional transport coefficients ξ_B and ξ , defined through the Landau frame charge current

$$J_{3+1}^\mu = \rho u^\mu + \sigma V^\mu + \xi_B B^\mu + \xi w^\mu, \quad (7.1)$$

with $B^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}u_\nu F_{\rho\sigma}$ the magnetic field and $w^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}u_\nu\nabla_\rho u_\sigma$ the vorticity, are tantalizingly similar to the Kubo formula (1.11) for $\tilde{\chi}_E$ and $\tilde{\chi}_T$.

- **Thermodynamic subtractions.** In section 5 we obtained the equilibrium expressions for the energy-momentum tensor and the current (5.21),

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= (\epsilon - (\mathcal{M}_\Omega - f_\Omega)\Omega) u^\mu u^\nu + (P - (\mathcal{M}_B B + \mathcal{M}_\Omega \Omega)) \Delta^{\mu\nu}, \\ J^\mu &= (\rho - \mathcal{M}_B \Omega) u^\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

The above structures can be given a physical interpretation. First, the magnetic subtraction to the thermodynamic pressure can be understood as being due to the force exerted by B on the boundary currents of the fluid elements [61]. Second, a rotating fluid with non-zero magnetization must also have non-zero polarization (see for example [81]), thereby inducing effective “bound charges.” In [4] the latter effect manifests itself as an extra correction to the current which takes the form $J^\mu = \rho u^\mu + \partial_\nu M^{\mu\nu}$. Rewriting $M^{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{M}_B \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} u_\rho$, taking μ and T to be constant and using the equations of motion (1.1) to show that the transverse part of $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu u_\rho$ vanishes, we obtain $J^\mu = (\rho - \mathcal{M}_B \Omega) u^\mu$ as in (7.2).

- **Restrictions on the constitutive relations.** Had we known the equilibrium stress tensor and current (7.2) at non-zero B and Ω , we could have obtained the susceptibility conditions (5.8) without requiring any input from the entropy current. Applying (5.8) and the Onsager relations (4.10) to the Kubo formulas for the $\tilde{\chi}$'s (4.27) then determines \mathcal{M}_B , \mathcal{M}_Ω , $\tilde{\chi}_E$, $\tilde{\chi}_T$, \tilde{x}_B and \tilde{x}_Ω to take on the same values we found by demanding positivity of entropy production. It is interesting that the constraints on hydrodynamics from correlation functions then precisely match those obtained from the entropy current. In each case the $\tilde{\chi}$'s are functions of $\partial P/\partial B$ and $\partial P/\partial \Omega$ and the integration constant $f_\Omega(T)$. Note that $\partial P/\partial B$ can be interpreted as the magnetization density while, as discussed below, $\partial P/\partial \Omega$ can be interpreted as half the angular momentum density of the equilibrium state.
- **Equilibrium states with vorticity.** The possibility of equilibrium configurations with non-zero vorticity Ω was discussed in section 5. The example we gave involved a limit in which the vorticity was taken to be small, of the same scale as gradients of thermodynamic variables. In this limit, there is a solution to the hydrodynamic equations in which the fluid is rotating with constant angular frequency ω and has vorticity $\Omega = 2\omega$. We point out that a fluid rotating in a flat background is equivalent, via a diffeomorphism, to a non rotating fluid moving at constant velocity, in a rotating background. If we denote $B_G = \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i h_{0j}$, also known as the gravitomagnetic field, we find using the aforementioned diffeomorphism that $B_G = 2\omega$. This relation has been used recently in a study of response in topological superconductors and superfluids [82]. Equilibrium states in the presence of gravitomagnetic fields were considered previously in [15]. We observe that diffeomorphism invariance implies that equilibrium states characterized by either a constant angular frequency or a constant

gravitomagnetic field are equivalent to states with non-zero vorticity (see also [36]). While the vorticity Ω is diffeomorphism invariant, B_G and ω are not.

There also exist equilibrium configurations where Ω does not need to be small. One example is given by a fluid on a sphere [59] where $\Omega = 2\omega \cos \theta / (1 - \omega^2 \sin^2 \theta)$, and θ is the polar angle on the two-sphere. Another candidate example is an extension of our solution from section 5, where $u^\mu = \gamma(1, 0, \omega)$, $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - (\omega r)^2}$, and we are working in a polar (t, r, θ) coordinate system. In this configuration we find that $\Omega = 2\omega\gamma^2$ while the shear and divergence of the velocity field vanish, $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $\nabla_\mu u^\mu = 0$. See [60] for additional examples.

- **Vorticity and angular momentum.** In an equilibrium configuration where either the spatial fluid velocity or angular velocity are constant but non-zero, the partition function (4.4) takes the form

$$Z[T, \mu, u^\mu] = \text{Tr} \left[\exp \frac{1}{T} \int d^2x (u_\mu T^{0\mu} + \mu J^0) \right]. \tag{7.3}$$

Inserting the flat space rotating solution (5.1) from section 5 which has non-zero vorticity Ω , together with the definition $L = \int d^2x \epsilon_{ij} x^i T^{0j}$ for the total angular momentum, leads to

$$Z = \text{Tr} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{H}{T} + \frac{L\Omega}{2T} + \frac{\mu Q}{T} \right) \right]. \tag{7.4}$$

We observe that (7.4) describes an ensemble with non-zero total angular momentum.

- **Ferromagnetism and Ferrovorticism.** When Ω characterizes equilibrium states, an interesting feature of **P**-violating systems is that the response of the pressure to vorticity, \mathcal{M}_Ω , and to a magnetic field, \mathcal{M}_B , can be non-zero when $\Omega=0$ and $B=0$. While a non-zero value of magnetization density $\mathcal{M}_B = \partial P / \partial B$ at $B=0$ is associated with ferromagnetism, one may term a similar phenomenon of non-zero $\mathcal{M}_\Omega = \partial P / \partial \Omega$ at $\Omega=0$ “ferrovorticism”. For the rigid rotation states (5.1) discussed in section 5 with constant vorticity $\Omega = 2\omega$, the form of the partition function (7.4) shows that we have

$$\mathcal{M}_\Omega = \left. \frac{\partial P}{\partial \Omega} \right|_{\Omega=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left. \frac{\partial P}{\partial \omega} \right|_{\omega=0} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \ell \rangle, \tag{7.5}$$

where $\langle \ell \rangle = \langle L \rangle / \mathcal{V}$ denotes the density of the total angular momentum at zero rotation frequency. In parity-invariant fluids, the total angular momentum in the parity-invariant thermal equilibrium state with $\omega=0$ would have to vanish. However, when parity is not a symmetry of the microscopic theory, there is no reason why $\langle L \rangle$ should vanish at $\omega=0$ (just as there is no reason that the total charge $\langle Q \rangle$ should vanish at $\mu=0$ when charge conjugation is not a symmetry). The holographic model studied in section 6 indeed has a non-zero value of $\partial P / \partial \Omega$ at $\Omega=0$, given by (6.15). It is natural to associate a non-zero value of $\langle \ell \rangle$ with the average “intrinsic” angular momentum density of a fluid where the degrees of freedom carry spin.

Recently, reference [7] argued for a relation between the angular momentum density and the Hall viscosity in a non-relativistic limit. It is worth pointing out that our holographic model of section 6 has a non-zero value of $\mathcal{M}_\Omega = \partial P/\partial\Omega$ at $T \neq 0$, while the Hall viscosity $\tilde{\eta}$ vanishes identically in our relativistic setting.

- **The off-diagonal conductivity.** The total off-diagonal conductivity σ_{xy} , defined through $J^x = \sigma_{xy}E^y$, is given by

$$\sigma_{xy} = \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\chi}_E, \tag{7.6}$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_E$ is a thermodynamic response parameter and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a transport coefficient. In the holographic example presented in section 6, $\tilde{\sigma} \neq 0$. We anticipate that $\tilde{\sigma}$ will contribute to an anomalous Hall effect [14] in parity-violating systems, and to an off-diagonal heat current, $Q^i = T^{0i} - \mu J^i$, at non-zero chemical potential. We point out that the total conductivity in (7.6) contains contributions from both transport and bound currents. We plan to study these contributions in future work [83].

The fluid-gravity correspondence allows an interesting interpretation of the off-diagonal conductivity in terms of properties of the black hole horizon. The fluid-gravity analysis of section 6 can be extended to include a dilaton, $e(x^a)$, coupled to the gauge field via a $e^{-2}(x)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ term which replaces the canonical kinetic term. Such an extension will lead, at zero chemical potential, to the DC longitudinal conductivity $\sigma_{xx} = \sigma = 1/e^2(r_H)$ along with the off-diagonal conductivity $\sigma_{xy} = \tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\chi}_E = \theta(r_H)/(8\pi^2)$.¹¹ Since only the horizon value $r = r_H$ of the axion and dilaton enter the expressions for the conductivities this result may be suggestive of the membrane paradigm. In spite of this possible interpretation, one can show that at non-zero chemical potential the off-diagonal conductivity cannot be written in terms of horizon quantities.

Acknowledgments

We thank D. T. Son for discussions which initiated this project. We also thank F. Benini, O. Bergman, J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, G. Dunne, C. P. Herzog, G. D. Moore, K. Landsteiner, S. Minwalla, T. Petkou, M. Petropoulos, M. Rangamani, D. T. Son and H. Verlinde for helpful conversations. KJ, PK, and AR are supported in part by NSERC, Canada. MK was supported in part by the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) and is currently supported by the US Department of Energy under contract number DE-FGO2-96ER40956. The research of RM is supported by the European Union grant FP7-REGPOT-2008-1-CreteHEPCosmo-228644. AY is a Landau fellow, supported by the Taub foundation. AY is also supported in part by the Israeli Science Foundation (ISF) under grant number 495/11 and by the Bi-national Science Foundation (BSF) grant number 2014350. We thank a variety of institutions for their hospitality and support during the

¹¹Note that a similar computation of the off-diagonal conductivity in a holographic system containing an axion was carried out in [84], obtaining a result for σ_{xy} that differs from ours.

completion of this work, including the Aspen Center for Physics, the Galileo Galilei Institute in Florence, the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, the Laboratoire de Physique Théorique at the École Normale Supérieure, the Lorentz Center at the University of Leiden, and the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics.

References

- [1] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, Pergamon Press (1987).
- [2] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology*, John Wiley & Sons, (1972).
- [3] D.A. Teaney, *Viscous Hydrodynamics and the Quark Gluon Plasma*, [arXiv:0905.2433](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] S.A. Hartnoll, P.K. Kovtun, M. Muller and S. Sachdev, *Theory of the Nernst effect near quantum phase transitions in condensed matter and in dyonic black holes*, *Phys. Rev. B* **76** (2007) 144502 [[arXiv:0706.3215](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] M. Muller and S. Sachdev, *Collective cyclotron motion of the relativistic plasma in graphene*, *Phys. Rev. B* **78** (2008) 115419 [[arXiv:0801.2970](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] N. Read, *Non-Abelian adiabatic statistics and Hall viscosity in quantum Hall states and $p_x + ip_y$ paired superfluids*, *Phys. Rev. B* **79** (2009) 045308 [[arXiv:0805.2507](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] A. Nicolis and D.T. Son, *Hall viscosity from effective field theory*, [arXiv:1103.2137](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] G.D. Moore and K.A. Sohrobi, *Kubo Formulae for Second-Order Hydrodynamic Coefficients*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 122302 [[arXiv:1007.5333](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Minwalla and A. Yarom, *A Theory of first order dissipative superfluid dynamics*, [arXiv:1105.3733](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] O. Saremi and D.T. Son, *Hall viscosity from gauge/gravity duality*, *JHEP* **04** (2012) 091 [[arXiv:1103.4851](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] L. Onsager, *Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes. I*, *Phys. Rev.* **37** (1931) 405.
- [12] L. Onsager, *Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes. II*, *Phys. Rev.* **38** (1931) 2265.
- [13] F. Haldane, *Model for a Quantum Hall Effect without Landau Levels: Condensed-Matter Realization of the 'Parity Anomaly'*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** (1988) 2015 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] N. Nagaosa, J. Sinova, S. Onoda, A.H. MacDonald and N.P. Ong, *Anomalous Hall effect*, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **82** (2010) 1539 [[arXiv:0904.4154](#)].
- [15] S. Ryu, J.E. Moore and A.W. Ludwig, *Electromagnetic and gravitational responses and anomalies in topological insulators and superconductors*, *Phys. Rev. B* **85** (2012) 045104 [[arXiv:1010.0936](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] J. Avron, R. Seiler and P. Zograf, *Viscosity of quantum Hall fluids*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 697 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] J.E. Avron, *Odd Viscosity*, [physics/9712050](#).
- [18] N. Read and E.H. Rezayi, *Hall viscosity, orbital spin and geometry: Paired superfluids and quantum Hall systems*, *Phys. Rev. B* **84** (2011) 085316 [[arXiv:1008.0210](#)].

- [19] T.L. Hughes, R.G. Leigh and E. Fradkin, *Torsional Response and Dissipationless Viscosity in Topological Insulators*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 075502 [[arXiv:1101.3541](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] C. Hoyos and D.T. Son, *Hall Viscosity and Electromagnetic Response*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 066805 [[arXiv:1109.2651](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] T. Delsate, V. Cardoso and P. Pani, *Anti de Sitter black holes and branes in dynamical Chern-Simons gravity: perturbations, stability and the hydrodynamic modes*, *JHEP* **06** (2011) 055 [[arXiv:1103.5756](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] T. Kimura and T. Nishioka, *The Chiral Heat Effect*, [arXiv:1109.6331](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] J.-W. Chen, N.-E. Lee, D. Maity and W.-Y. Wen, *A Holographic Model For Hall Viscosity*, [arXiv:1110.0793](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] D.E. Kharzeev, L.D. McLerran and H.J. Warringa, *The Effects of topological charge change in heavy ion collisions: 'Event by event P and CP-violation'*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **803** (2008) 227 [[arXiv:0711.0950](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] K. Fukushima, D.E. Kharzeev and H.J. Warringa, *The Chiral Magnetic Effect*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 074033 [[arXiv:0808.3382](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] N. Ajitanand, R.A. Lacey, A. Taranenko and J. Alexander, *A New method for the experimental study of topological effects in the quark-gluon plasma*, *Phys. Rev. C* **83** (2011) 011901 [[arXiv:1009.5624](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] V. Orlovsky and V. Shevchenko, *Towards a quantum theory of chiral magnetic effect*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 094032 [[arXiv:1008.4977](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] D.E. Kharzeev and D.T. Son, *Testing the chiral magnetic and chiral vortical effects in heavy ion collisions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 062301 [[arXiv:1010.0038](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] D.E. Kharzeev and H.J. Warringa, *Chiral Magnetic conductivity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 034028 [[arXiv:0907.5007](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] S. Ozonder, *Maxwell- Chern-Simons Hydrodynamics for the Chiral Magnetic Effect*, *Phys. Rev. C* **81** (2010) 062201 [*Erratum ibid.* **C 84** (2011) 019903] [[arXiv:1004.3883](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] B. Keren-Zur and Y. Oz, *Hydrodynamics and the Detection of the QCD Axial Anomaly in Heavy Ion Collisions*, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 006 [[arXiv:1002.0804](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] D.E. Kharzeev and H.-U. Yee, *Anomalies and time reversal invariance in relativistic hydrodynamics: the second order and higher dimensional formulations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 045025 [[arXiv:1105.6360](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] S. Bhattacharyya, V.E. Hubeny, S. Minwalla and M. Rangamani, *Nonlinear Fluid Dynamics from Gravity*, *JHEP* **02** (2008) 045 [[arXiv:0712.2456](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] N. Banerjee et al., *Hydrodynamics from charged black branes*, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 094 [[arXiv:0809.2596](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] J. Erdmenger, M. Haack, M. Kaminski and A. Yarom, *Fluid dynamics of R-charged black holes*, *JHEP* **01** (2009) 055 [[arXiv:0809.2488](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] I. Amado, K. Landsteiner and F. Pena-Benitez, *Anomalous transport coefficients from Kubo formulas in Holography*, *JHEP* **05** (2011) 081 [[arXiv:1102.4577](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] A. Rebhan, A. Schmitt and S.A. Stricker, *Anomalies and the chiral magnetic effect in the Sakai-Sugimoto model*, *JHEP* **01** (2010) 026 [[arXiv:0909.4782](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [38] A. Gynther, K. Landsteiner, F. Pena-Benitez and A. Rebhan, *Holographic Anomalous Conductivities and the Chiral Magnetic Effect*, *JHEP* **02** (2011) 110 [[arXiv:1005.2587](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] T. Kalaydzhyan and I. Kirsch, *Fluid/gravity model for the chiral magnetic effect*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 211601 [[arXiv:1102.4334](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] C. Hoyos, T. Nishioka and A. O'Bannon, *A Chiral Magnetic Effect from AdS/CFT with Flavor*, *JHEP* **10** (2011) 084 [[arXiv:1106.4030](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] Y. Matsuo, S.-J. Sin, S. Takeuchi and T. Tsukioka, *Magnetic conductivity and Chern-Simons Term in Holographic Hydrodynamics of Charged AdS Black Hole*, *JHEP* **04** (2010) 071 [[arXiv:0910.3722](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] D. Son and A.R. Zhitnitsky, *Quantum anomalies in dense matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 074018 [[hep-ph/0405216](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] D.T. Son and P. Surowka, *Hydrodynamics with Triangle Anomalies*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 191601 [[arXiv:0906.5044](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias, L. Melgar and F. Pena-Benitez, *Holographic Gravitational Anomaly and Chiral Vortical Effect*, *JHEP* **09** (2011) 121 [[arXiv:1107.0368](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias and F. Pena-Benitez, *Gravitational Anomaly and Transport*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 021601 [[arXiv:1103.5006](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] Y. Neiman and Y. Oz, *Anomalies in Superfluids and a Chiral Electric Effect*, *JHEP* **09** (2011) 011 [[arXiv:1106.3576](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] S. Lin, *On the anomalous superfluid hydrodynamics*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **873** (2012) 28 [[arXiv:1104.5245](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] S. Dubovsky, L. Hui and A. Nicolis, *Effective field theory for hydrodynamics: Wess-Zumino term and anomalies in two spacetime dimensions*, [arXiv:1107.0732](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] R. Loganayagam, *Anomaly Induced Transport in Arbitrary Dimensions*, [arXiv:1106.0277](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya and S. Minwalla, *Dissipative Superfluid dynamics from gravity*, *JHEP* **04** (2011) 125 [[arXiv:1101.3332](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] R. Kubo, *Statistical-mechanical theory of irreversible processes. I. General theory and simple applications to magnetic and conduction problems*, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **12** (1957) 570.
- [52] L.P. Kadanoff and P.C. Martin, *Hydrodynamic equations and correlation functions*, *Ann. Phys.* **24** (1963) 419/
- [53] K.-c. Chou, Z.-b. Su, B.-l. Hao and L. Yu, *Equilibrium and Nonequilibrium Formalisms Made Unified*, *Phys. Rept.* **118** (1985) 1 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] E. Wang and U.W. Heinz, *A Generalized fluctuation dissipation theorem for nonlinear response functions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 025008 [[hep-th/9809016](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] G. Policastro, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, *From AdS/CFT correspondence to hydrodynamics. 2. Sound waves*, *JHEP* **12** (2002) 054 [[hep-th/0210220](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] P. Romatschke and D.T. Son, *Spectral sum rules for the quark-gluon plasma*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 065021 [[arXiv:0903.3946](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [57] P. Kovtun, G.D. Moore and P. Romatschke, *The stickiness of sound: An absolute lower limit on viscosity and the breakdown of second order relativistic hydrodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 025006 [[arXiv:1104.1586](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] P. Kovtun and L.G. Yaffe, *Hydrodynamic fluctuations, long time tails and supersymmetry*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 025007 [[hep-th/0303010](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] S. Bhattacharyya, S. Lahiri, R. Loganayagam and S. Minwalla, *Large rotating AdS black holes from fluid mechanics*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 054 [[arXiv:0708.1770](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] R.G. Leigh, A.C. Petkou and P.M. Petropoulos, *Holographic Three-Dimensional Fluids with Nontrivial Vorticity*, [arXiv:1108.1393](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] N.R. Cooper, B.I. Halperin and I.M. Ruzin, *Thermoelectric response of an interacting two-dimensional electron gas in a quantizing magnetic field*, *Phys. Rev. B* **55** (1997) 2344.
- [62] J.M. Maldacena, *The Large- N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [*Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38** (1999) 1133] [[hep-th/9711200](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998) 105 [[hep-th/9802109](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253 [[hep-th/9802150](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] O. Bergman, N. Jokela, G. Lifschytz and M. Lippert, *Quantum Hall Effect in a Holographic Model*, *JHEP* **10** (2010) 063 [[arXiv:1003.4965](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] J.P. Gauntlett, J. Sonner and T. Wiseman, *Quantum Criticality and Holographic Superconductors in M-theory*, *JHEP* **02** (2010) 060 [[arXiv:0912.0512](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] I.R. Klebanov and E. Witten, *AdS/CFT correspondence and symmetry breaking*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **556** (1999) 89 [[hep-th/9905104](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [68] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, *A Stress tensor for Anti-de Sitter gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **208** (1999) 413 [[hep-th/9902121](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [69] M. Bianchi, D.Z. Freedman and K. Skenderis, *How to go with an RG flow*, *JHEP* **08** (2001) 041 [[hep-th/0105276](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [70] A. Petkou and K. Skenderis, *A Nonrenormalization theorem for conformal anomalies*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **561** (1999) 100 [[hep-th/9906030](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [71] L. Romans, *Supersymmetric, cold and lukewarm black holes in cosmological Einstein-Maxwell theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **383** (1992) 395 [[hep-th/9203018](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [72] B. Carter, *Hamilton-Jacobi and Schrodinger separable solutions of Einstein's equations*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **10** (1968) 280.
- [73] J. Plebanski and M. Demianski, *Rotating, charged and uniformly accelerating mass in general relativity*, *Annals Phys.* **98** (1976) 98 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [74] M.M. Caldarelli, G. Cognola and D. Klemm, *Thermodynamics of Kerr-Newman-AdS black holes and conformal field theories*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17** (2000) 399 [[hep-th/9908022](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [75] A. Yarom, *Notes on the bulk viscosity of holographic gauge theory plasmas*, *JHEP* **04** (2010) 024 [[arXiv:0912.2100](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [76] P.M. Hohler and M.A. Stephanov, *Holography and the speed of sound at high temperatures*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 066002 [[arXiv:0905.0900](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [77] A. Cherman, T.D. Cohen and A. Nellore, *A Bound on the speed of sound from holography*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 066003 [[arXiv:0905.0903](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [78] J. Hur, K.K. Kim and S.-J. Sin, *Hydrodynamics with conserved current from the gravity dual*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 036 [[arXiv:0809.4541](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [79] K.S. Thorne and R.H. Price and D.A. Macdonald (eds.), *Black Holes: The Membrane Paradigm*, Yale University Press, (1986).
- [80] R. Baier, P. Romatschke, D.T. Son, A.O. Starinets and M.A. Stephanov, *Relativistic viscous hydrodynamics, conformal invariance and holography*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 100 [[arXiv:0712.2451](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [81] C. Ridgely, *Applying relativistic electrodynamics to a rotating material medium*, *Am. J. Phys.* **66** (1998) 114.
- [82] K. Nomura, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki and N. Nagaosa, *Cross-Correlated Responses of Topological Superconductors and Superfluids*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 026802 [[arXiv:1108.5054](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [83] K. Jensen, M. Kaminski, P. Kovtun, R. Meyer, A. Ritz and A. Yarom, *to appear*.
- [84] N. Iqbal and H. Liu, *Universality of the hydrodynamic limit in AdS/CFT and the membrane paradigm*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 025023 [[arXiv:0809.3808](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [85] N. Banerjee, J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Jain, S. Minwalla and T. Sharma, *Constraints on Fluid Dynamics from Equilibrium Partition Functions*, [arXiv:1203.3544](#).