

Quantitative relationship between electrospinning parameters and starch fiber diameter

Lingyan Kong – University of Alabama

Gregory R. Ziegler – Pennsylvania State
University

Deposited 05/07/2020

Citation of published version:

Kong, L., Ziegler, G. (2013): Quantitative relationship between electrospinning parameters and starch fiber diameter. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 92(2).

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.09.026>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Full text at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.09.026>

or send request to lingyan.kong@ua.edu

1

2 Quantitative relationship between electrospinning parameters and starch fiber diameter

3

4 Lingyan Kong¹ and Gregory R. Ziegler^{1,*}

5

6 ¹Department of Food Science

7 The Pennsylvania State University

8 341 Food Science Building

9 University Park, PA 16802

10

11

12 For submission to: *Carbohydrate Polymers*

13

14 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 814 863 2960; fax: +1 814 863 6132. E-mail

15 address: grz1@psu.edu.

16

17

Abstract

18 The diameter of the starch fibers produced by electrospinning is a key parameter for
19 most potential applications. In this study, a quantitative relationship between fiber
20 diameter and certain electrospinning parameters, i.e. starch concentration, applied voltage,
21 spinning distance and feed rate, was established by empirical modeling using a fractional
22 factorial experimental design in a constrained region. Response surface methodology was
23 employed to analyze the interactions of the electrospinning parameters and predict the
24 direction to minimize and maximize the fiber diameters.

25 **KEYWORDS:** starch, fiber, diameter, electrospinning, empirical modeling, response
26 surface methodology

27

28 **1. Introduction**

29 Polysaccharide based biopolymers are of great interest to researchers in academia and
30 industry as a potential substitute for synthetic polymers because of their sustainable
31 supply, biodegradability and biocompatibility. Fibers spun from polysaccharides are
32 promising materials for a wide variety of applications, e.g. filtration, biomedical, and
33 textiles to name but a few. A number of polysaccharides have been artificially spun into
34 fibers, including cellulose, chitosan, alginate, hyaluronic acid, pullulan, and dextran
35 (Kong, Ziegler, & Bhosale, 2010). Among polysaccharides, probably the most abundant
36 and inexpensive is starch. Therefore, starch spinning has attracted much interest (Kong &
37 Ziegler, 2012b). We have recently demonstrated a method to produce pure starch fibers
38 by an electrospinning technique (Kong & Ziegler, 2012a).

39 The diameter is a key parameter for fibers envisioned for specific applications.
40 Electrospinning is a simple and efficient technique capable of producing micro- or nano-
41 scale fibers. Compared with normal textile fibers, which are in the order of hundreds of
42 microns, nano-scale fibers have much higher surface-area-to-volume ratio, higher
43 porosity, and smaller pore sizes. The diameter of the starch fibers obtained in our original
44 work was in the order of microns. It's important to systematically investigate the effect of
45 the electrospinnig parameters on starch fiber diameter, and the smallest fiber diameter
46 obtainable without sacrificing fiber qualities, e.g. continuous morphology and
47 reproducibility.

48 Empirical modeling by response surface methodology has been used successfully for
49 fiber spinning processes in a number of studies including dry-jet-wet spinning of
50 polyurethane elastomer fibers (Reddy, Deopura, & Joshi, 2010), and electrospinning of

51 silk fibers (Sukigara, Gandhi, Ayutsede, Micklus, & Ko, 2004), polylactide fibers (Gu &
52 Ren, 2005), and polyacrylonitrile fibers (Gu, Ren, & Vancso, 2005; Yördem, Papila, &
53 Menciloglu, 2008). In our previous report, we have observed the interaction of some
54 electrospinning parameters (Kong & Ziegler, 2012c). For instance, dispersions with low
55 starch concentration required a high feed rate, high voltage and short spinning distance to
56 be spun; the requirement was reversed for high starch concentrations. Spinning was
57 unsuccessful at certain combinations of spinning parameters. Therefore, it was not
58 possible to employ a full-factorial design to investigate the effects of spinning parameters
59 on fiber diameter. Instead a fractional factorial design in a constrained region (Wheeler,
60 Betsch, & Donnelly, 1993) was used to generate the response surface contours for the
61 influence of starch concentration, voltage, distance and feed rate on fiber diameter
62 without drawing.

63 **2. Materials and Methods**

64 **2.1. Materials**

65 Hylon VII starch was supplied by National Starch and Chemical Company (now Corn
66 Products International, Bridgewater, NJ) and used as received. Hylon VII is a corn starch
67 with amylose content of about 70%. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was obtained from
68 VWR International (Radnor, PA). Ethanol (200 proof) was obtained from the Penn State
69 Chemistry Stockroom.

70 **2.2. Electrospinning**

71 The preparation of spinning dope involved dissolving the appropriate amount of starch
72 in 95% (v/v) aqueous DMSO solution. The starch dispersion was heated in a boiling

73 water bath with continuous stirring on a magnetic stirrer hotplate for about one hour. The
74 starch dispersion was then allowed to cool to room temperature and deaerated. A 10 mL
75 syringe (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ) with a 20 gauge blunt
76 needle was used as the spinneret.

77 The electrospinning setup comprised a higher voltage generator (ES40P, Gamma High
78 Voltage Research, Inc., Ormond Beach, FL), a syringe pump (81620, Hamilton
79 Company, Reno, NV), and a grounded metal mesh immersed in pure ethanol (Fig. 1).
80 This electrospinning configuration can also be referred to as “electro-wet-spinning”. The
81 fibrous mat deposited in the ethanol coagulation bath was then washed using pure ethanol
82 and dried in a desiccator containing Drierite under vacuum.

83 **2.3. Design of experiments**

84 In order to establish a quantitative relationship between fiber diameter and spinning
85 parameters, a fractional experimental design for a constrained region using a quadratic
86 model was created by ECHIP (ECHIP, Inc., Hockessin, DE) (Wheeler, et al., 1993). Four
87 variables were included in the model: starch concentration (10 to 15 %, w/v), voltage (6
88 to 10 kV), spinning distance (5 to 8 cm), and feed rate (2 to 4 ml/h). The constraints were
89 specified by a “point-percentage” method provided by ECHIP. Within the experiment
90 range, two extreme combinations were identified as non-operational conditions according
91 to previous experiments, i.e. starch concentration at 10% (w/v), voltage at 6 kV, spinning
92 distance at 8 cm and feed rate at 2 ml/h; and starch concentration at 15, voltage at 10,
93 spinning distance at 5 and feed rate at 4. Two pieces of experimental region were cut off
94 by two imaginary planes perpendicular to the vector from the center of the experimental
95 region to the non-operational points and located at 10% of the distance from the center.

96 The design contained 28 experiments, 25 unique combinations, and 3 replications (Table
97 1). Five unique checkpoints (runs from 26 to 30) were then used to validate the initial
98 model and added to create a new model.

99 **2.4. Fiber morphology**

100 Observation of fibers was performed using a FEI Quanta 200 environmental scanning
101 electron microscope (ESEM-~~(~~, FEI, Hillsboro, OR) in low vacuum mode at an
102 accelerating voltage of 20 KeV. The fiber samples for ESEM were not coated with metal.
103 Fiber diameter was measured from the ESEM images. Five images were used for each
104 fiber sample and at least 100 different segments were randomly measured to obtain an
105 average diameter.

106 **3. Results and Discussion**

107 **3.1. Fiber morphology**

108 Fiber samples from each experimental run were observed using electron microscopy
109 (Fig. 2), and evaluated according to their spinning behavior and fiber morphology (Table
110 1). The pairs of 3 replicates produced fibers of same appearance. Therefore only one
111 picture was shown representing the replicate runs. 18 out of 30 experimental conditions
112 produced good fibers, i.e. those that are continuous and have few droplets, though the
113 fiber diameter spanned from 3.35 μm (run 26) to 12.16 μm (run 11). Of 30 fiber samples
114 5 were evaluated as fair. These fibers are largely continuous but may have some droplets
115 (i.e. runs 4, 5, and 14) or thick fibers (i.e. 15 and 19). The final 7 runs produced poor
116 fibers. Some of these runs, i.e. 1, 13, 17, and 23, resulted in thick fibers. These runs
117 resulting in poor fibers used the highest starch concentrations and relatively high

118 voltage/distance ratios. At these electrospinning conditions, the jet did not develop
119 whipping instability and the process appeared like simple wet-spinning. The other two
120 runs, i.e. ~~11~~7 and 22, produced too many droplets by electrospaying, instead of
121 electrospinning. These two runs used the lowest starch concentration and the greatest
122 spinning distance. A similar material concentration effect was reported in other studies
123 (Gu, et al., 2005). The fiber morphology can probably be influenced by both surface
124 tension and viscosity. The surface tension tends to reduce surface area per unit mass and
125 thus favors the formation of droplets or particles, while viscoelastic forces promote the
126 formation of fibers. At low material concentrations, surface tension may have a
127 dominating impact over viscoelastic force. However, at high concentrations, high
128 viscosity brings difficulty in the extension of the jet and thus results in thick fibers. With
129 only two constraints for a 4-dimensional experimental design, these combinations were
130 included in the constrained region, because a balance between well-defined operational
131 range and enough space to have distant points has to be considered for the prediction
132 power of the model.

133 When all of the experimental runs were used to construct a model for the effect of
134 spinning parameters on fiber diameter, starch concentration was the only significant
135 parameter ($r^2=0.88$, p -value = 0.0007). However, when all of the poor fiber data were
136 eliminated, a model with 12 significant terms ($r^2 = 0.94$, p -value = 0.0143) was obtained.
137 The poor fibers were obtained by mechanisms other than true electrospinning and, thus,
138 should not be included in the model construction and refinement for electrospinning.

139 **3.2. Model construction**

140 Fiber diameter data of the good and fair fibers were used for regression analysis. Five
141 additional unique runs were used as checkpoints for model validation. The root mean
142 square of the residuals between checkpoints and predictions was calculated to be 2.08,
143 smaller than the residual standard deviation for non-checkpoints, i.e. 2.09. Therefore, the
144 model can be considered a good one and the predictions reliable (Wheeler, et al., 1993).
145 Insignificant terms were then removed to refine the model. Table 2 provides the
146 coefficients of the final statistical model and the significance of each term. All the terms
147 involving feed rate were insignificant in determining the fiber diameter and thus not
148 included in the final model.

149 As shown in the footnote, the model used centering values by subtracting the average
150 of the high and low limits of the variables. With centering removed, the fitted second-
151 order equation for average fiber diameter is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} 152 \quad \text{Diameter} = & 165.924 - 2.465 \times \text{Distance} - 6.475 \times \text{Voltage} \\ 153 \quad & - 24.825 \times \text{StarchConc} - 1.13 \times \text{Distance} \times \text{Voltage} \\ 154 \quad & - 2.25 \times \text{Distance} \times \text{StarchConc} + 1.22 \times \text{Voltage} \times \text{StarchConc} \\ 155 \quad & + 2.38 \times \text{Distance}^2 + 1.32 \times \text{StarchConc}^2 \end{aligned}$$

156 According to the model, the smallest mean fiber diameter obtainable, without an
157 added process like mechanical drawing, is 3.98 μm at a starch concentration of 10%
158 (w/v), feed rate of 2.8 ml/h, voltage of 10 kV, and distance of 6.8 cm, which is identical
159 the conditions of run 16. The largest mean fiber diameter is outside the experimental
160 design region.

161 **3.3. Electrospinning parameters and their interactions**

162 For starch concentration from 10 to 15 % (w/v), contour plots of the predicted mean
163 fiber diameter were illustrated in Fig. 3. Each contour visualizes the effects of voltage
164 and spinning distance at the corresponding starch concentration. The effect of starch
165 concentration can also be seen by comparing the six contour plots. Increasing starch
166 concentration increases the lower limit of the fiber diameter.

167 For all starch concentrations, the fiber diameter seems more responsive to spinning
168 distance than to voltage. The interaction of voltage and spinning distance can also be
169 observed according to the nonlinear contour lines. The interaction effect follows a similar
170 trend regardless of starch concentration. The condition for smallest fiber diameter shifted
171 from the high voltage, intermediate distance region to the low voltage, long distance
172 region as starch concentration increased. It is expected from previous rheological studies
173 that low starch concentration requires higher shear rate brought about by higher voltage
174 to distance ratio for aligning the starch molecules in the jet, whereas highly concentrated
175 starch dispersion does not need such high shear rate (Kong & Ziegler, 2012c). Both
176 increasing and decreasing the ratio of voltage to distance from this condition tended to
177 increase the fiber diameter. The ratio of voltage to distance can also be defined as electric
178 field strength (Sukigara, et al., 2004). Lowering the electric field strength will decrease
179 the electric stress on the starch dispersion and the efficiency in drawing the fiber.
180 However, increasing the electric field strength from the center region accelerates the jet
181 so quickly that whipping instability cannot be well developed. This will shorten the spiral
182 loop path of the jet, where the jet is extensively elongated. Further increase of the electric

183 field strength will result in a process like simple wet-spinning, as described for runs 1, 13,
184 17, and 23.

185 The contour plots in Fig. 4 and 5 indicate that the fiber diameter is very responsive to
186 starch concentration. The strong dependence of fiber diameter on material concentration
187 has been reported by a number of studies for other materials (Kattamuri & Sung, 2004;
188 Ryu, Kim, Lee, Park, & Lee, 2003; Sukigara, et al., 2004; Yördem, et al., 2008). At short
189 spinning distances (5 and 6.5 cm), the effect of voltage is largely negligible, as can be
190 seen from the slope of the curves. At long spinning distance, the effect of voltage is also
191 not apparent for intermediate starch concentrations. But voltage has more effect on fiber
192 diameter at low and high starch concentrations. It should also be noted that the
193 electrospinnability outside the experimental region cannot be guaranteed. The reason is
194 related to the rheological properties of the starch-DMSO-water dispersions, which has
195 previously been discussed (Kong & Ziegler, 2012c).

196 The contour plots at constant voltages show that at higher starch concentrations
197 greater spinning distances were needed in order to produce fibers with equivalent
198 diameters. On the one hand, it is reasonable to suggest longer travelling distance enables
199 the development of sufficient whipping of the fibers, where viscous starch dispersion is
200 elongated. On the other hand, long spinning distance should be avoided at low voltage in
201 order to produce small fibers, since low electric stress would be insufficient to align the
202 molecules in the fibers. The predicted condition for the smallest fiber diameter is located
203 near spinning distance of about 6.5 to 7 cm, which can be easily visualized in the contour
204 plot at a voltage of 6 kV (Fig. 5A).

205 **4. Conclusions**

206 Fractional factorial design for a constrained region and quadratic empirical modeling
207 were applied to establish a quantitative relationship between several electrospinning
208 parameters and fiber diameter. Checkpoints were used to validate the model and added
209 for regression analysis. A quadratic empirical model was finalized involving three
210 electrospinning parameters, i.e. starch concentration, voltage, and distance. According to
211 the model, the smallest fiber diameter (3.98 μm) can be obtained within the experiment
212 range. Response surface analysis was employed to create contour plots where the main
213 effects and interactions of individual parameters can be visualized. Fiber diameter was
214 found to be more responsive to starch concentration than to voltage and distance in the
215 experiment range. The ratio of voltage to distance and the ratio of starch concentration to
216 distance were found to be important in predicting the trend of fiber diameter.

217 **Acknowledgment**

218 This project was funded by the USDA National Institute for Food and Agriculture,
219 National Competitive Grants Program, National Research Initiative Program 71.1 FY
220 2007 as grant # 2007-35503-18392.

221 **References**

- 222 Gu, S.-Y., & Ren, J. (2005). Process optimization and empirical modeling for electrospun
223 fibers using response surface methodology. *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering*, 290(11),
224 1097-1105.
- 225 Gu, S. Y., Ren, J., & Vancso, G. J. (2005). Process optimization and empirical modeling for electrospun
226 polyacrylonitrile (PAN) nanofiber precursor of carbon nanofibers. *European Polymer Journal*,
227 41(11), 2559-2568.
- 228 Kattamuri, N., & Sung, C. (2004). Uniform polycarbonate nanofibers produced by electrospinning.
229 *Macromolecules*(3), 425.
- 230 Kong, L., & Ziegler, G. R. (2012a). Fabrication of starch fibers by electrospinning. *In preparation*.
- 231 Kong, L., & Ziegler, G. R. (2012b). Patents on fiber spinning from starches. *Recent Patents on Food,*
232 *Nutrition & Agriculture*.
- 233 Kong, L., & Ziegler, G. R. (2012c). Role of Molecular Entanglements in Starch Fiber Formation by
234 Electrospinning. *Biomacromolecules*, 13(8), 2247-2253.
- 235 Kong, L., Ziegler, G. R., & Bhosale, R. (2010). Fibers spun from polysaccharides. In Ito, R. & Matsuo, Y.
236 (Eds.), *Handbook of carbohydrate polymers: development, properties and applications* (pp. 1-43).
237 New York: Nova Science Pub Inc.
- 238 Reddy, G. V. R., Deopura, B. L., & Joshi, M. (2010). Dry-jet-wet spun polyurethane fibers. I. Optimization
239 of the spinning parameters. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 118(4), 2291-2303.
- 240 Ryu, Y. J., Kim, H. Y., Lee, K. H., Park, H. C., & Lee, D. R. (2003). Transport properties of electrospun
241 nylon 6 nonwoven mats. *European Polymer Journal*, 39(9), 1883-1889.
- 242 Sukigara, S., Gandhi, M., Ayutsede, J., Micklus, M., & Ko, F. (2004). Regeneration of Bombyx mori silk
243 by electrospinning. Part 2. Process optimization and empirical modeling using response surface
244 methodology. *Polymer*, 45(11), 3701-3708.
- 245 Wheeler, B., Betsch, R., & Donnelly, T. (1993). *EChips user's guide version 6.0 for Windows*. Hoskessin,
246 DE: EChip, Inc.
- 247 Yördem, O. S., Papila, M., & Menciloglu, Y. Z. (2008). Effects of electrospinning parameters on
248 polyacrylonitrile nanofiber diameter: An investigation by response surface methodology.
249 *Materials & Design*, 29(1), 34-44.

250
251

252

253 **Figure Captions**

254 **Fig. 1.** Schematic drawing of the electrospinning setup.

255 **Fig. 2.** Electron micrographs of fiber samples from experimental runs from 1 to 30. Scale
256 bar represents 500 μm in all figures. Fibers were evaluated and classified into good fibers
257 (++) , fair fibers (+), and poor fibers (-).

258 **Fig. 3.** Contour plots of fiber diameter as a function of voltage and spinning distance at a
259 constant feed rate of 3 ml/h and different starch concentrations: A, 10%; B, 11%; C, 12%;
260 D, 13%; E, 14%; and F, 15% (w/v). Contour lines with numbers are significantly
261 different ($P < 0.05$). The red lines denote the design boundary; i.e. experimental
262 conditions outside or below the red lines were not included in the design.

263 **Fig. 4.** Contour plots of fiber diameter as a function of starch concentration and voltage at
264 a constant feed rate of 3 ml/h and different spinning distance: A, 5; B, 6.5; and C, 8 cm.
265 Contour lines with numbers are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). The red lines denote the
266 design boundary; i.e. experimental conditions outside of the red lines were not included
267 in the design.

268 **Fig. 5.** Contour plots of fiber diameter as a function of starch concentration and spinning
269 distance at a constant feed rate of 3 ml/h and different voltage: A, 6; B, 8; and C, 10 kV.
270 Contour lines with numbers are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). The red lines denote the
271 design boundary; i.e. experimental conditions outside of the red lines were not included
272 in the design.